

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_87878
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of correlation coefficient and path coefficient for yield and its components in rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) under sodic soil condition
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Why is the entire paper written in Landscape? You can use Portrait, while Tables can be inserted as Landscape. There a missing or extra spaces all over the paper – please check and correct.</p> <p>Tables are using different fonts (Calibri, instead of TNR), as well as the Conclusion (in addition, different colour or the text, as well as in other places, all over the paper). For “Competing interest disclaimer” is used Arial 11... which one is correct?</p> <p>Table 4.4. mentioned in the article, instead of Table 2.</p> <p>What is L:B ratio??? Please explain.</p> <p>Both tables are out of the paper range – you can use “AutoFit to Window”, maybe use a smaller size of the font and less cell margins.</p> <p>References are not in order, the last mention (Singh et al., 2020), is added in the end.</p> <p>You have 3 papers of Yadav, but only one is mentioned – Yadav et al., 2008. What about the other 2? Iftekharuddeula et al. (2001), Kato (1997), Muhammed et al. (2007) are also missing from the article, even though they are mentioned in References.</p> <p>On the other hand, Searle (1961) is cited in text, without being mentioned in References. Only two papers are cited in Bold.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Horoias Roxana
Department, University & Country	University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest, Romania