

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Pathogen Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_91066
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial Screening of Jatropha tajorensis against Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. As per the author explanation, the extraction was carried out by increasing order of polarity, he was carried out with alcohol, petroleum ether and aqueous.</li><li>2. But as per the polarity, author should use petroleum ether, alcohol and aqueous solvents for extraction, Authors needs explanation about the usage of solvents as per the polarity.</li><li>3. Authors must rewrite the abstract part, because Authors has written only alcoholic extract shows significant activity. But aqueous extraction shows the presence of flavonoids in table no:1, it also shows significant antibacterial activity.</li><li>4. Page no 7, there is repeated dose (25 mg/ml, 50 mg/ml and 25 mg/ml respectively) so, authors need clarification which is mandatory.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Authors should mentioned the concentration of extract in Table no 3.</li><li>2. Authors must re-write the discussion part</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hemalatha K
Department, University & Country	Acharya & BM Reddy College of Pharmacy, India