

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Pathogen Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_90629
Title of the Manuscript:	Medicinal plants used for treatment of gastrointestinal infections in Tharaka-Nithi County
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>Overall study is clashed with 2 objectives (one is cross-sectional study design and structured questionnaire used to gather information on the herbal use in the treatment of GIT diseases AND the other one is medicinal values of some plants. Eg. Aloe vera, Aspilia pluriseta and Ficus sycomorus are the medicinal plants used for treatment of cholera where the stems and leaves of these plants are used. Vangueria infausta, Eucalyptus globulus, Carissa edulis and Erythrina abyssinnica are the plants used for treatment of shigellosis. Leaves of these plants are used. Erythrina abyssinnica, Carissa edulis, Vangueria infausta and Eucalyptus globulus are the plants used in typhoid treatment where stems and leaves are the parts used.)</b></li><li><b>For the above medicinal value section, there is no scientific supportive data provided.</b></li><li><b>The reference section is confusing. Many references are not matching with the related texts in the paper. There are 64 references cited in the text but 67 found in the references section. Doubtful about the authenticity of coverage of the research.</b></li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	U.S.Mahadeva Rao
Department, University & Country	Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia