

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_90179
Title of the Manuscript:	EAGLE SYNDROME: A CASE REPORT AND REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijmpcr.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Congratulations to the authors for their work. Eagle's Syndrome is rare and sometimes symptomatic. For this reason, I think that the case report is valuable. The article is generally well written, and the discussion is sufficient. I propose some corrections for publication.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Do not use abbreviations in the Keywords section.2. Indicate numbers less than ten with letters (such as, two years)3. Write the abbreviation Computer tomography (CT) clearly where you first used it. If you use the abbreviation for the first time and once, do not abbreviate (PDC ?). Correct the Angioscan expression as computer tomography angiography (CTA).4. English grammar and punctuation should be revised.5. In discussion, first paragraph: "In our series, the age ranged from 46 to 60 years." correct the expression. Which one?6. In discussion, first paragraph: "It was first described by Eagle in 1937." Rewrite it in your own words. (https://www.haomsjournal.org/eagle-syndrome-etiology-diagnosis-and-treatment-a-literature-review-and-presentation-of-cases.html)	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Soysal Baş
Department, University & Country	University of Healty Science, Turkey