

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_91393
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of NAA And GA3 On Fruit Yield and Yield Attributory Traits in Phalsa (<i>Grewia subinaequalis</i> D.C.) c.v. Sharbati
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript needs literary, grammatical, and scientific editing (Poor English).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Observe spaces or remove extra spaces between words. - As much as possible, spelling and grammar flaws were corrected and rewritten (Blue colour in manuscript). Some words or sentences must be deleted (Red highlight in manuscript). In some parts, comments have been laid out. <p>Refer to the attachment file. Would you please compare the original manuscript with the corrected one?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1- The abstract should be revised and rewritten. An abstract is a summary of the main article. An abstract will include information about why the research study was done, the methodology, and something about the author's findings of the author(s). The abstract should tell you what the research study is about, how the research was done (methodology), who the research sample was, what the authors found and why this is important to the field.</p> <p>2- In material and methods: T₂(NAA@10 ppm) be corrected as T₂(10 ppm NAA). The rest should be corrected in the entire text.</p> <p>3- The results require statistical analysis. Specify the type of experiment design. Comparison of averages, analysis of variance, standard error, standard deviation, and calculation of the coefficient of variation is needed for each treatment. In agricultural sciences, Critical Difference is used to compare means of different treatments with an equal number of replications for interpreting the results from field experiments.</p> <p>4- The discussion is weak.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>No</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sayed Mohammad Reza Khoshroo
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad Univerity, Iran