

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_91291
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Seaweed Extracts in Fruit Crops: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Being a review article, all published articles should have been thoroughly gone through by the authors. "Methods of Action" – this section is incomplete and simply given as "still unknown". Since the compounds have been isolated and identified by many phycologists in this field, it is not difficult to find the methods of action of the active components. Books titles as "Physiology and Biochemistry of algae" are available which provide information on this subject. The authors may revise this section.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Minor revisions needed are highlighted in red colour. These are outlined below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Contradictory statements in the very first sentence. Can be corrected.</li> <li>2. Efficacy and efficiency convey the same meaning. May be avoided.</li> <li>3. "10% more productivity globally" – needs reference.</li> <li>4. Table 1 title is misplaced</li> <li>5. Sentence may be simplified by making it as two sentences.</li> <li>6. Language correction needed.</li> <li>7. Sentence construction and grammar correction needed to convey the meaning intended by the authors.</li> <li>8. Reference citation – not according instruction given to authors (Year and page no. missing).</li> <li>9. Year missing in the reference.</li> <li>10. References beyond the year 2015 are not found in text matter.</li> </ol> <p>THE ABOVE CORRECTIONS ARE NEEDED TO BRING OUT A GOOD REVIEW PAPER FROM THE AUTHORS. <b>IT IS GOOD REVIEW OTHERWISE</b>. THE SUGGESTED CORRECTIONS MAY BE MADE TO MAKE IT FIT FOR PUBLICATION.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NIL	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Thevanathan
Department, University & Country	University of Madras, India