

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_91226
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF DRAINAGE CONDITIONS IN THE POBLACION BARANGAYS OF CATARMAN, NORTHERN SAMAR – A Pilot Study
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b>                      -no clear objective indicated in Abstract                      -sample size is not indicated</p> <p><b>Introduction</b>                      -There is no literature reviewed in the introduction part flooding condition of the world, the region then flooding condition overview in Floppiness                      - no research gap is shown because it has no introduction of literature                      -nothing is said about all condition of the flooding in the overall region of the country.                      -short description of statement of the problem is not discussed</p> <p><b>Objective or Aim of the study</b>                      Some of your objectives are unnecessary for instance objective 1 is background of the study area it cannot be your research objective</p> <p>I haven't seen differences between objective 2 and 4 you can marge them</p> <p>Objective 5 and 6 can be under one objectives reason and remedial action</p> <p><b>Methodology</b>                      This is not methodology but ethical consideration need to proceed research</p> <p>Methodology have to include 1, <b>study area background, research approaches, sampling technique</b> data collection methods method of data analysis etc..... but here nothing indicated under your methodology</p> <p><b>Generally, this paper has no methodological procedure as scientific research</b></p> <p><b>Sampling</b>                      Under list 1' (table 1) Is total population or sample population if it is sample population how you can handle these all? if it is sample population is the total population of each area?</p> <p>There is no data which is gathered from these respondents;</p> <p>Therefore: why you used these population?</p> <p>How you selected Your sampling? Nothing is said about sampling technique</p> <p><b>Method of data analysis</b>                      What approach you used to analyses your data'; there is no method of data analysis</p> <p><b>Result</b>                      There no discussion part for your results; even your result is simple report about the data in the tables</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b>                      This manuscript has no conclusion</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Definition of terms and miner editing	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	For me as a reviewer, this paper is blow scientific researches because it is simple report of data	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Temesgen Soressa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wollega University, Ethiopia</b>