

ASSESSMENT OF GENETIC VARIABILITY PARAMETERS AND CHARACTER ASSOCIATION IN CHICKPEA (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

Abstract

School of Agriculture, ITM University in Gwalior's Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding performed a Rabi season 2021–2022 study on 15 distinct genotypes of chickpeas to better understand their genetic variability. The genotypes were distributed using a Randomized Block Design with three replications. On the plants themselves, data was gathered on their overall height, the number of main and secondary branches they had at 50% flowering, the number of pods and seeds they had at 100 seeds weight, days to maturity, as well as their grain yields per plant and the total yield per plot. After analyzing the data, A statistical study of each character's genotypes revealed a wide range of results. Plant height, number of main and secondary branches, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, number of pods per plant, and 100 seed weight provided the highest GCV and PCV values in the experiment. All of these traits, as well as plant height, secondary branch count, days until 50% of the flowers open, pod count per plant, grain production per plant, and total yield per plot, demonstrated significant heritability and genetic advancement as a percentage of the mean. Traits such as plant height, no of seeds per pod, and total yield per plot, exhibited a significant positive correlation with grain yield per plant and this will show a greater direct effect on single yield plant.

Keywords: Genetic variability; correlation; *Cicer arietinum* L.; heritability, genetic advance, and grain yield.

INTRODUCTION

A member of the Ciceraceae family, Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), also known as Bengal gram, is a member of the genus *Cicer*. It is self-pollinating and possesses a diploid ($2n=16$) genome. Chickpeas are produced in large quantities in countries including India, Australia, Pakistan, Turkey, Myanmar, Ethiopia, Iran, Mexico, and Canada. On an area and production basis, India accounts for 52.5 percent of global chickpea land, 38 percent of the total pulse acreage in the globe, and 50 percent of the overall pulse output (FAOSTAT, 2013). Over 12 million hectares of land are devoted to the cultivation of this essential pulse crop, which produces 9.2 million tonnes of grain each year (Redden and

Berger, 2007). Chickpeas are a winter crop, but cold and frost damage the blossoms, preventing them from maturing into seeds or destroying the seeds already within the pod, while they are in bloom. Chickpeas are a very nutrient-dense crop that might serve as a supermolecule-rich addition to diets heavy on cereals. Chickpeas include a plethora of nutrients, including 267 kcal of energy, 23% of protein, 5% of fat, 64% of carbohydrates, and 6% of fiber (6 percent). Vitamins (particularly B vitamins) and minerals (notably potassium and phosphorus) are also found in chickpeas. Farmers need to apply less nitrogen fertilizer to legume crops than they do to non-legume crops because of the crop's symbiotic nitrogen fixation.

Chickpea output in the nation is quite low, despite its nutritional and economic worth. Because of the weak genetic composition of the kinds now available, this is a major factor. For plant breeders to be able to choose high-yielding genotypes, genetic diversity is a must in every breeding effort. There is a better probability of obtaining the desired plant species when there is a larger degree of population diversity. A population's heritability and genetic advancement estimates may be used to predict future gains. Selecting genotypes from various genetic subgroups is made easier by heritability estimations. It is possible to create a new population that has a higher quality of life than the previous one because of genetic improvement. The chickpea crop is likely to benefit genetically by selecting features that have a strong positive connection with yield rather than those that have a large negative association with yield.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A Randomized Block Design (RBD) including three repeated trials using fifteen different chickpea genotypes listed C-1022, C-210, C-136, C-137, C-115, C-1021, C-1027, C-223, C-1044, C-127, C-1014, C-126, C-1013, C-1025, C-128 was used by researchers from the Crop Research Centre II, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding and the School of Agriculture at ITM University Gwalior, M.P., in Rabi 2021-22. The meteorological data were

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study examined the genetic variability of fifteen different chickpea genotypes. Analysis of variance data for each character is shown in **Table 1**. The mean sum of squares due to genotype showed high significance for all the characters and suggested significant variation

recorded during the experimental period with temperatures of 9^oc to 31^oc. The soil of the experimental field was clayey with adequate drainage. The soil texture will be sandy loam with a PH of 7.35. After this study, the maximum distance between rows and plants was set at 40 and 15 centimeters. To ensure a successful harvest, all recommended agronomic techniques were implemented in every plot replication. On five randomly selected plants from each genotype in each replication we recorded data on ten different quantitative characteristics, including plant height (cm), the number of primary and secondary branches and pods and seeds per pod, the weight of 100 seeds (g), the number of days until 50% flowering, the number of days until maturity, the grain yield per plant, and the total yield per plot (kg). The average performance value was derived using statistical analysis of the data from each replication. The analysis of variance was computed using the Panse V.G. and Sukhatme P.V. approach (1967). The GCV and PCV were calculated using Burton and De Vane's formulae, which took into account both genetic and phenotypic variation (1953). Heritability and genetic advancement were calculated using methods developed by Mishra and colleagues (1988). An analysis of the correlation between genetic and phenotypic traits was carried out by using the Johnson et al formula. 's (1955) in the studied material. The fifteen distinct chickpea genotypes evaluated in this study showed substantial heterogeneity in the gene-specific means for all attributes studied. And the mean performance of the different characters of fifteen genotypes is shown in

Table 2. Additionally, Vaghela MD *et al.* found comparable outcomes in their study (2019).

To generate attractive genotypes with high yield potential, plant breeders must have access to a wide range of genetic variations in their germplasm. To achieve self-sufficiency and property in food production, a wide range of genetically distinct types must be established. PCV and GCV coefficients of variation (PCV and GCV) are shown in **Table 3** to analyze the genetic diversity among the various chickpea traits. When comparing PCV

with GCV for plant height, the number of main branches and secondary branches, maturity days and pods per plant, as well as total yield per plot, the PCV was shown to be larger than GCV for the relevant parameters, which indicates high variability for these traits in the genotypes studied thereby less environmental effect for phenotypic expression of that character selection. Sanjay Kumar *et al.*, (2019), Jadhav R *et al.*, (2012), and Khan R H *et al.*, (2013) reported comparable findings in previous research (2011).

Table 1. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for ten characters in fifteen genotypes of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

S.no	Characters	Mean sum of squares		
		Replication	Genotype	Error
		Degree of freedom	d.f = 2	d.f = 14
1	Plant height	34.638	17.684**	0.831
2	No of the primary branches	1.726	0.687**	0.189
3	No of secondary branches	24.422	11.641**	1.422
4	Days to 50% flowering	114.82	190.946**	1.679
5	No of pods per plant	922.40	505.72**	42.50
6	No of seeds per pod	2.488	0.422**	0.179
7	100 seed weight	34.067	4.133**	0.686
8	Grain yield per plant	19.446	108.213**	0.387
9	Total yield per plot	0.0475	0.270**	0.001
10	Days to maturity	129.06	70.724**	3.305

** = Significant at P = 0.01 level, *** = Significant at P = 0.05 level

There was a larger heritability (h^2_{bs}) in terms of plant height, number of secondary branches, maturity days; the number of pods per plant; 100 seed weight; grain yield per production, **Table 3** reveals that there is a moderate heritability for these traits. Findings of Jain S *et al.* (2013) and Jain S *et al.* (2013).

Based on heredity alone, the selection of better genotypes may not be sufficient evidence for genetic progress. As a result, using heritability estimates and genetic progress to choose genotypes for future crop

plant; 50 percent flowering days; and total yield per plot (h^2_{bs}) When it comes to branching and seed

breeding improvement will be more successful. There were high genetic advancement values in plant height, number of secondary branches, pod count per plant, grain output per plant, and total yield per plot in the present

research. And also, high heritability and high genetic advance indicate a preponderance of

This isn't the first time this has occurred; comparable findings have been found in

S. No	Characters	Range		Grand mean	Coefficient of variation		Heritability (h ² bs %)	GA	GA% Mean		
		Max	Min		GCV	PCV					
1	Plant height	29	18	23.14	10.23	10.97	87	4.55	19.68		
2	No of the primary branches	5.4	2.5	4.06	10.01	14.65	46	0.57	14.09		
3	No of secondary branches	18	8	12.68	14.54	17.31	70	3.19	25.16		
4	Days to 50% flowering	99	70	84	9.349	9.473	97	16.14	19		
5	No of pods per plant	164	85	107.3	11.53	13.02	78	22.66	21.04		
6	No of seeds per pod	3	1	2.04	13.9	24.9	31	0.32	15.99		
7	100 Seed weight	23	16	18.53	71.6	101	16.6	27	1.35		
8	Grain yield per plant	32	9	20.23	75.6	110.6	17.6	18.3	0.9		
9	Total yield per plot	22	4	10	80.3	98.3	19.6	25	1.2		
10	Days to maturity	121	100	108.2	80.3	120.3	18	18.2	0.9		
6.	C-1021	26	4.4	12.6	76.3	103	2.6	18	27.3	1.36	103.3
7.	C-1027	24.5	4	12	83	117.6	1.6	19.6	21	1.05	103
8.	C-223	24	5.03	13	92	116.3	2.0	17.3	17	0.8	111.6
9.	C-1044	19.4	3.7	12.3	94	101.6	2.0	18.3	16.5	0.8	105.3
10.	C-127	21.3	4.06	12.6	89	103	2.6	21.3	30.3	1.51	110
11.	C-1014	25.1	4.4	12.3	82.3	106.2	2.0	18.6	20.2	1.01	104.6
12.	C-126	23.1	4.1	13	96.3	106	2.0	19.6	16.5	0.82	112.3
13.	C-1013	24.3	3.9	14.3	96.6	101.3	1.3	19	27.3	1.36	109.6
14.	C-1025	21.3	4.0	15.3	87.3	102.3	1.6	18	9.49	0.47	119.6
15.	C-128	20.6	4.6	17	91	99	2.0	18.3	16.4	0.8	113.3
	Grand Mean	23.1	4.06	12.68	84.9	107.7	2.04	18.5	20.23	1.01	108.2
	SE(m)	0.52	0.25	0.68	0.74	3.76	0.24	0.47	0.35	0.01	1.04
	CD (5%)	1.52	0.72	1.99	2.16	10.9	0.70	1.38	1.04	0.05	3.04

additive gene action for controlling these traits. Improvement of such traits can be expected from hybridization followed by clonal selection.

previous investigations by Arshad *et al.*, (2002), Dar S.A *et al.*, (2012), and Jadhav RS *et al.*, (2012).

Table 2. Mean performance of characters under the study of each genotype

Table 3. Range of variation, genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation (GCV and PCV), heritability (h²bs), genetic advance, and genetic advance as percent of the mean for ten characters of Chickpea

Correlation is a vital instrument in a broad variety of scientific endeavors when it comes to selecting the most attractive personalities. Plant height, the number of seeds per pod, and total yield per plot were found to have a positive correlation with phenotypic correlation coefficient (PCV) and genotypic correlation coefficient (GCV) analysis shown in **Tables 3 and 4**; however, it had a negative correlation with the number of primary branches per plant; the number of secondary branches per plant;

days to 50% flowering; total pods per plant; and the number of ripe seeds per pod. Thus, selecting the traits that had a significant positive association with yield against the traits that had a significant negative association with yield is expected to result in genetic gains in chickpea crops. These findings are in agreement with the findings of many earlier studies including Jain S *et al.* (2013), Dar SA *et al.* (2012), and Ali *et al.* (2011) have all reported on it.

Table 4. The genotypic correlation coefficient among the ten characters in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

Traits	PH	NPB	NSB	D50F	NPP	NSP	100SW	GYPP	TYPP	DM
PH	1.000	0.392	0.008	-0.218	0.365	0.126	-0.0904	0.037	0.036	-0.108
NPB		1.000	0.668**	0.420	0.159	0.520*	0.093	-0.160	-0.162	0.247
NSB			1.000	0.692**	-0.088	-0.435	0.256	-0.334	-0.335	0.800**
D50F				1.000	-0.069	-0.504	0.456	-0.181	-0.1814	0.526*
NPP					1.000	-0.290	0.039	-0.0142	-0.014	-0.180
NSP						1.000	0.1665	0.498	0.497	-0.404
100SW							1.000	0.417	0.419	-0.055
GYPP								1.000	1.000**	-0.565
TYPP									1.000	-0.564*

DM										1.000
----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--------------

*, ** = Significant at P = 0.05 and P = 0.01 levels, respectively

Table 5. The phenotypic correlation coefficient among the ten characters in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

Traits	PH	NPB	NSB	D50F	NPP	NSP	100SW	GYPP	TYPP	DM
PH	1.000	0.204	-0.004	-0.200	0.288	0.044	-0.088	0.023	0.023	-0.111
NPB		1.000	0.257	0.257	0.063	0.025	0.0002	-0.128	-0.131	0.235
NSB			1.000	0.587**	0.002	-0.126	0.142	-0.276	-0.274	0.617**
D50F				1.000	-0.047	-0.284	0.363	-0.174	-0.174	0.485**
NPP					1.000	-0.180	-0.016	-0.0258	-0.025	-0.1441
NSP						1.000	0.087	0.282	0.279	-0.199
100SW							1.000	0.331*	0.332	-0.050
GYPP								1.000	1.000**	-0.532
TYPP									1.000	-0.531
DM										1.000

*, ** = Significant at P = 0.05 and P = 0.01 levels, respectively

PH= Plant height, NPB= No of primary branches per plant, NSB= No of secondary branches per plant, D50F= Days to 50% Flowering, NPP= No of pods per plant, NSP= No of seeds per pod, 100SW= 100 Seed weight, GYPP= Grain yield per plant, TYPP= Total yield per plot, DM= Days to maturity.

CONCLUSION

In the current research, genetic variability parameters and character association, the analysis of variance showed genetic variants in fifteen chickpea genotypes that supported yield and its corresponding attributes. Genes that consistently function well across conditions may be used to choose enhanced breeding lines for sources of high yield and high quality. The purpose of this investigation was to gather data on how well the product performed. Genes C-126, C-136, C-115, C-1021, C-1022, and C-1014 were shown to be superior to the other genotypes in terms of the characteristics, C-210, C-137, and c-1027.

Comparing PCV to the equivalent genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), it was clear that the characters' features were more strongly influenced by environmental interaction. High heritability and large genetic progress are determined by additive gene activity, which is expressed as a percentage of the mean of important characteristics. Heritability is an indicator of little environmental influence. Considering the correlation analysis of assorted parts with grain yield per plant and its connected traits, a perfect chickpea genotype would be one with high pods per plant, high 100 seed weight, and high grain yield. Within the future breeding program, additional stress ought to run those identified traits

whereas creating choices for higher grain yield in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

REFERENCES

1. Agarwal (1985) Genetic variability in segregating populations of desi x Kabuli crosses. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 55(7): 456–459.
2. Ali, Q., Ahsan, M., Khaliq, I., Elahi, M., Shahbaz, M., Ahmed, W. and Naees, M. (2011). Estimation of genetic association of yield and quality traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). International Research Journal of Plant Science, 2(6):166-169.
3. Arshad, M., A. Bakhsh, M. Bashir and M. Haqqani. 2002. Determining the heritability and relationship between yield and yield components in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Pak. J. Bot. 34: 237-245.
4. Babbar, A., Prakash, V., Prakash, T. and Iqbal, M.A. (2012). Genetic variability of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under late sown condition. Legume Research. 35(1): 1-7
5. Borate VV, Dalvi VV, Jadhav BB. Estimation of genetic variability and heritability in chickpea. J Mah Agri Uni. 2010;35(1):47-49.
6. Burton, G.W. and Devane., 1953. Estimating heritability in tall fescue from replicated clonal material. J. Agronomy. 45 (3): 473-481.
7. Dar SA, Ishfaq A, Khan MH, Pir FA, Ali G, Abu Manzar. Genetic variability and interrelationship for yield and yield component characters in chickpea. Trends in Biosci. 2012;5(2):119-121.
8. Dudley, J.W. and R.H. Moll, 1969. Interpretation and use of estimates of heritability and genetic variance in plant breeding. Crop Science, 9(3): 257-267.
9. FAOSTAT (2013). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United States of America. <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC/visualize>
10. Hahid, S., Malik, R., Bakhsh, A., Asif, M.A., Iqbal, U. and Iqbal, S.M. (2010). Assessment of genetic variability and interrelationship among some agronomic traits in chickpea. International Journal of Agricultural Biology. 12(1): 81-85.
11. Hasan MT, Deb AC. Assessment of genetic variability heritability character association and selection indexes in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L). Int J Biosci. 2017;10 (2):111-129.
12. Jadhav RS, Mohrir MN, Ghodke MK. Genetic variability in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L). Bioinfolet. 2012;9 (2):199-201.
13. Jain S, Srivastava SC, Indapurkar YM, Yadava HS. Assessment of heritable components in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L). J of Food Legumes. 2013; 26 (3-4):134-136.
14. Johnson HW, HF Robinson and RF Comstock (1955) Estimation of genetic and environmental variability of soybean. Agronomy J. 47: 314–318.
15. Jeena, A.S., Arora, P.S. and Ojha, O.P. (2005). Variability and correlation studies for yield and its components in chickpea. Legume Research. 28(2):146-1
16. Khan RH, Farhatullah, Khan S. Dissection of genetic variability and heritability estimates of chickpea germplasm for various morphological markers and quantitative traits. J Agric. 2011;27(1):67-72.
17. Mallu TS, Mwangi SG, Nyende AB, Gangarao NVPR, Odeny DA, Rathore A, Kumar A. Assessment of genetic variation and heritability of agronomic traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L). Internat J Agro and Agric Res. 2014;5(4):76- 88.
18. Malik SR, Bakhsh A, Asif MA, Iqbal U, Iqbal SM. Assessment of genetic variability and

- interrelationship among some agronomic traits in chickpea. *Internat J Agric & Bio.* 2010;12(1):81-85.
19. Mishra, R., Rao, S.K. and Kouto, G.K. (1988). Genetic variability, correlation studies, and their implication in the selection of high yielding genotypes of chickpea. *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, 22: 51-57.
 20. Mohamed AA, Tahir ISA, Elhashimi AMA. Assessment of genetic variability and yield stability in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L) cultivars in River Nile State Sudan. *J Plant Breed and Crop Sci.* 2015;7 (7): 219-224.
 21. Panse V.G. and Sukhatme P.V. (1967). Statistical method for agricultural workers, ICAR, New Delhi, 2(1): 381- 384.
 22. Redden, R.J., & Berger, J. D. (2007). *Chickpea breeding and management: History and origin of chickpea.* Oxfordshire, UK: CAB International.
 23. Reeve, E.C.R. 1955. The variance of the genetic correlation coefficients. *Biometrics* 11: 351- 374
 24. Sandhu, S.K. and Mandal, A.K. (1989). Genetic variability and character association in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Genetic Ka*, 21(2): 135-139.
 25. Sanjay Kumar, B. G. Suresh, Anand Kumar and Lavanya, G. R. 2019. Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) for Yield and its Component Traits. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 8(12): 2341-2352.
 26. Saleem, M., Shahzad, K., Javýd, M. and Abdul ur rauf, S. 2002. Heritability estimates for grain yield and quality characters in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 4:275-276.
 27. Siddique, K. H. M., Brinsmead, R. B., Knight, R., Knights, E. J., Paull, J. G., & Rose, I. A. (2000). Adaptation of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) to Australia. In R. Knight (Ed.), *Linking research and marketing opportunities for pulses in the 21st century* (pp. 289–303).
 28. Sharma, B.D., Sood, B.C. and Malhotra, V.V. (1990). Study of variability, heritability, and genetic advance in chickpea. *Indian J. Pulses Res.*, 3(1): 1-6.
 29. Shweta Y, Yadav RK. Studies on genetic variability heritability and genetic advance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L). *J of Food Legumes.* 2013; 26 (3-4): 139-140.
 30. Steel, R.G.D., J.H. Torrie and D. A. Dickey. 1997. Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw Hill Book Co., NY. The USA.
 31. Vaghela MD, Poshiya VK, Savaliya JJ, Kavani RH, Davada BK. Genetic variability studies in Kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Legume Res.* 2009;32(3):191-194.
 32. Vijayalaxmi NVS, Kumar and T Nageshwar Rao (2000) Variability and correlation studies in desi, Kabuli and intermediate chickpea. *Legume Res.* 23(4): 232–236.
 33. Wahid, M.A., and Ahmed, R. (1998). Genetic variability, correlation studies, and their implication in the selection of high-yielding genotypes in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 15(1): 25-28.
 34. Yadav P, Tripathi DK, Khan KK, Yadav AK. Determination of genetic variation and heritability estimates for morphological and yield traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under late sown conditions. *Ind J Agric Sci.*2015;85(7):877-882.