

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_90850
Title of the Manuscript:	A CASE STUDY ON EVALUATION OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCE OF CLIMATE CHANGE IN A PROJECT AREA IN BANGLADESH
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please make the Abstract more concise and then reflect on the structure of the case study. • Some background information on why you choose a certain methodology helps understand the study. Giving sufficient information on the methodology will also give you a proven thinking framework and make you able to write more concisely. • Please do not put the purpose of the study in the conclusion; the purpose of the study should be in the introduction section and guided by the chosen methodology throughout the article. • What is your Definition of Operational of farmers and experts? It is better to state first the limits of your variables. • You may also better explain the effect of climate change on the fertility of the lands by linking Appendix B to the case study. • I suggest you break down your study into several sub-headings and give a conclusion one by one as a concluding statement and put your Conclusion as putting a network on these ideas. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please do grammar and spelling checks according to the English language of your choice consistently (either UK or US). 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sylvia Prisca Delima
Department, University & Country	Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia