

## Original Research Article

### **Assessment of genetic divergence in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) genotypes in Western U.P**

#### **Abstract**

The present investigation was carried out with 20 genotypes of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) at Horticulture Research Centre (HRC) of SVPUAT, Meerut, UP, during 2021-22 to identify the nature and magnitude of genetic divergence among genotypes based on phenotypical traits using the multivariate analysis. The experiment was laid down in randomized block design (RBD) with 03 replications. The experiment ~~having has 10~~ ~~ten~~ observations ~~on the basis of~~ ~~based on 5~~ ~~five~~ randomly selected plants from each replication. D<sup>2</sup> analysis grouped all 20 varieties into five clusters revealing the presence of considerable amount of variation among genotypes. Cluster pattern revealed that, ~~cluster I and II had highest number of 5 genotypes succeeded by cluster III had 4 genotypes, cluster IV and cluster V contain~~ ~~clusters I and II had the highest number of 5 genotypes succeeded by cluster III with 4 genotypes, cluster IV and cluster V containing 3~~ ~~three~~ genotypes each. Maximum intra cluster distances were recorded in cluster I (D<sup>2</sup>=2.264), indicating maximum difference among the genotypes within ~~the~~ cluster and minimum intra cluster distance was recorded in cluster V (D<sup>2</sup>=1.481), whereas, Maximum ~~inter-inter~~ cluster distance (D<sup>2</sup>=5.211) was observed between cluster II and IV and ~~the~~ minimum ~~were was~~ observed (D<sup>2</sup>=2.373) was observed between cluster III and V. The cluster means revealed the cluster IV was ~~the~~ best cluster for various characters like plant height, number of pods per plant, pod length, days to maturity and pod yield, providing upon the aim of breeding, ~~h~~. Hence the potential genotype can ~~be~~ ~~opted opt~~ from different clusters as parents.

**Keywords:** Pea, Genotypes, Phenotypical Analysis, Cluster, Breeding, Diversity

#### **Introduction**

~~Vegetable~~ ~~The vegetable~~ is increasingly becoming important as produced ~~in~~ domestic and export markets. Although most of them are good sources of minerals, vitamins and protein necessary for the needs of minerals, vitamins and the like, they have great

potential to improve nutrition and, therefore ~~the health of consumers, consumers' health~~ (Wills *et al.*, 1998).

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is an important legume vegetable grown ~~throughout the world worldwide~~. It is a native of ~~the~~ Mediterranean region, with Near East and Ethiopia as secondary centres (Blixt, 1970). Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) (2n = 14). is a self-pollinated crop and belongs to ~~the~~ family Leguminosae. It is a ~~good~~ excellent season crop most extensively grown in the temperate region throughout the world. Peas are used alone and mixed with other vegetables. It is developed principally as a winter vegetable in the fields of North Indian conditions and as a late spring vegetable in the bumpy area (Thamburaj 2005). It is a highly nutritious vegetable and contains digestible protein 7.2g, carbohydrates 15.8g, fat 0.1 g, vitamins like vitamin C 9 mg, vitamin A 139 IU and minerals like calcium 20.0 mg, phosphorus 139 mg, iron 1.5 mg (Choudhary, 1990). Being a ~~short-short~~-duration crop, it is highly utilized for crop rotation, and it ~~is capable to~~ can enhance the soil structure and provides breaks for ~~the~~ disease control (Martin *et al.*, 2008). ~~In order to supply transgressive segregants and genetically different parents must~~ To supply transgressive segregants, genetically different parents must be selected for recombination breeding in self-pollinated crops. ~~The characterization of genetic diversity in crop species has long been supported morphological attributes~~ Morphological attributes have long supported the characterization of genetic diversity in crop species. However, morphological variation is usually found to be of limited use because the expression of morphological attributes could also be littered with environmental conditions, thereby constraining the analysis of genetic variation (Nisar *et al.*, 2008). On the idea of morphological data, genetic diversity assessments ~~needs a high precision of field experiments through recommended design and analysis in order a high precision of field experiments through recommended design and analysis so~~ that the germplasm is also exploited to develop better genotypes for the upcoming scenario (Sajjad *et al.*, 2011). ~~In~~ The present study is an attempt attempts to obtain information on the genetic diversity in twenty ~~genotypes of pea and asses their utility in developing hetrotic combination for commercial purpose~~ pea genotypes and assess their utility in developing heterotic combination for commercial purposes.

## Method and Materials

The present investigations were carried out at Horticulture Research Centre, SVPUAT, Meerut in ~~the~~ RBD method with three replications during ~~the~~ Rabi season of 2021-22 by

taking 20 diverse genotypes of pea (Table 1). The observations were recorded on five random competitive plants per replication for each genotype of ten important characters viz. days to germination, days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), number of pods per plant, seed per pod, length of the pod (cm), the width of the pod (cm), days to maturity, pod yield per plant (g) and pod yield (q/ha). The Mahalanobis  $D^2$  (1936) statistical method was used to quantify genetic diversity among the genotypes. The  $D^2$  values were used to classify the entire germplasm into distinct clusters, ~~which was done following~~ ~~to following~~ Tocher's method (Rao (1952)).

## Result and Discussion

The analysis of variance for 20 genotypes ~~of pea~~ disclosed significant differences for all the ~~40~~ ~~ten~~ characters, ~~which represented~~ ~~representing~~ ~~the presence of a wide~~ ~~broad~~ spectrum of variability among the genotypes represented in Table 2. ~~On the basis of~~ ~~Based on~~ Mahalanobis  $D^2$  values, all the twenty genotypes of pea under study were grouped into 5 clusters, ~~showed~~ ~~shown~~ in Table 3. The Clustering pattern of pea genotypes ~~were~~ ~~was~~ grouped into five clusters. ~~Maximum~~ ~~The maximum~~ number of genotypes ~~5~~ ~~five~~ distributed in clusters I and II each. Cluster I have genotypes namely Kashi Mukti, Kashi Nandani, Arkel, AP-3 and Kashi Ageti. Cluster II also have 05 varieties: ~~Pant Matar-2, Kashi Samradhi, Mithi Phali, PC-531 and Kashi Uday, followed by Cluster III, which have Four varieties named namely Pant Matar 2, Kashi Samradhi, Mithi Phali, PC 531 and Kashi Uday followed by Cluster III have Four varieties name~~ viz. Arka Ajit, Pusa Pragati, Arka Kartik, and VL-3. Cluster IV ~~have~~ ~~has~~ three varieties, namely Kashi Shakti, Kashi Samrath and Bonneville, and cluster V ~~was~~ ~~also~~ ~~had~~ ~~has~~ three varieties named viz. Arka Sampurna, Arka Priya and Solan Nirog.

The average intra and ~~inter~~ ~~inter~~-cluster  $D^2$  value and average ~~intra~~ ~~Intra~~ and ~~inter~~ ~~inter~~-cluster distance value are presented in Table-4. The maximum ~~inter~~ ~~inter~~-cluster  $D^2$  (5.211) was observed between clusters II and IV, ~~whereas~~. ~~In contrast~~, minimum ~~inter~~ ~~inter~~-cluster distance (2.373) was observed between clusters III and V. The ~~intra~~ ~~intra~~-cluster distance was recorded ~~as~~ maximum (2.264) in cluster I, succeeded by cluster II (2.233), cluster IV (2.129) and cluster III (1.605) and minimum (1.481) in cluster V. The maximum ~~inter~~ ~~inter~~-cluster  $D^2$  values indicated that ~~genotypes of cluster II and~~ ~~VI~~ ~~cluster II and VI genotypes~~ were not closely related, ~~whereas~~. ~~In contrast~~, ~~the~~ ~~genotypes of cluster III and~~ ~~V~~ ~~cluster III and V genotypes~~ were found ~~to be~~ closely

related due to minimum ~~inter-inter~~ cluster  $D^2$  values. Therefore, it is evident from the modest fluctuation of  $D^2$  values that the genotypes of the cluster do not considerably differ~~ent~~ in terms of their relative genetic distance. Hence, these genetically diverse genotypes can be used as promising parents for hybridization. ~~Based on the significant difference in cluster means for various features, diversity among the genotypes was also calculated~~ Diversity among the genotypes was also calculated based on the significant difference in cluster means for various features. Nearly all ~~of the ten characters showed distinct mean values for various clusters, which reflect~~ ten characters showed distinct mean values for various clusters, reflecting their genetic divergence. The cluster mean ~~table~~ Table 5 shows that the genotypes in cluster II showed maximum mean value for the width of the pod (cm); ~~whereas, In contrast,~~ genotypes of cluster IV revealed maximum mean value for 50% flowering, plant height (cm), number of nodes per plant, length of pod, days to maturity pod yield per plant (gm), pod and pod yield (q/ha) and genotypes of cluster V showed maximum mean value for days to germination and seeds per pod. Relative appraisal of the group ~~implies~~ showed that for working on unambiguous characters, the genotypes ~~may~~ might be chosen from the cluster having a high mean incentive for that specific character. This comparison indicates that clusters IV and V had better cluster means for most ~~of the~~ characters, ~~t~~. T Therefore, these clusters might be considered better for selecting genotypes as divergent parents. ~~The s~~ Similar results were found by Tara *et al.* (2006), Vipin *et al.* (2008a), Vipin *et al.* (2008b), Singh and Mishra (2008), Shrivastava *et al.* (2012), Supe *et al.* (2013) and Kumar *et al.* (2015), and Kumar and Kumar (2016).

### **Conclusion:**

Based on Mahalanobis  $D^2$  analysis ~~it can be concluded that~~, the pea germplasm in the present study can be successfully used for planning future breeding programmes. The inter-crossing of genotypes showing the greater genetic divergence for most of the characters studied should result in superior heterotic crosses and ~~also~~, generate valuable segregants in the later generations. It is expected that better performing varieties could be generated to increase productivity in field pea. Therefore, from the present study, genotypes of cluster II and cluster IV ~~based on their high values for inter cluster distance and cluster means can be hybridized as the potential parents to produce superior offsprings in the segregating generations and to~~ genotypes based on their high values for

inter cluster distance and cluster means can be hybridized as the potential parents to produce superior off-springs in the segregating generations and improve pea productivity.

<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Genotypes</b>	<b>Institute</b>
1.	Kashi Shakti	I.I.V.R,Varanasi
2.	Kashi Mukti	I.I.V.R,Varanasi
3.	KashiSamrath	I.I.V.R,Varanasi
4.	Kashi Nandini	I.I.V.R,Varanasi
5.	Bonneville	USA
6.	Pant Matar-2	G.B.P.U.A.T,Pantnagar
7.	ArkaAjit	I.I.H.R,Bangalore
8.	Arkel	England
9.	ArkaSampoorna	I.I.H.R,Bangalore
10.	KashiSamridhi(VRPMR-11)	I.I.V.R,Varanasi
11.	Mithi Fali	PAU, Ludhiana

12.	Arka Priya(IIHR-1)	I.I.H.R,Bangalore
13.	Azad Pea -3	C.S.A.U.A.T,Kanpur
14.	PusaPragati	I.A.R.I,NewDelhi
15.	PC-531	PAU, Ludhiyana
16.	Kashi Ageti	I.I.V.R,Varanasi
17.	Solan Nirog	U.H.F,Solan.
18.	Arka Kartik	I.I.H.R,Bangalore
19.	Kashi Uday	I.I.V.R,Varanasi
20.	VL-3	V.P.K.A.S,Almora

**Table 1 List of genotypes used in experiment**

Table 2 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) mean sum of square for ten parameters of pea.

Source of variation	d.f.	Days to Germination	Days to 50% Flowering	Plant height (cm)	Number of Pods per plant	Seeds per Pods	Length of Pod (cm)	Width of Pod (cm)	Days to Maturity	Pod yield per plant (gm)	Pod yield (q/ha)
Replication	2	0.019	1.20	2.68	0.12	0.115	0.341	0.002	0.57	0.81	0.53
Treatment	19	0.693**	242.63**	627.84**	25.83*	0.939**	0.856**	0.014*	544.89**	374.77**	598.54**
Error	38	0.040	1.43	2.15	0.10	0.056	0.033	0.001	1.12	1.32	2.23
Total	59	0.249	79.10	203.66	8.39	0.343	0.308	0.005	176.21	121.57	194.20

Formatted Table

Table 3 Clustering pattern of 20 genotypes of ~~pea-pea-on-the-basis-of~~ based on Mahalanobis D<sup>2</sup> statistics.

Clusters	No. of genotypes	Genotypes
I	5	Kashi Mukti, Kashi Nandani, Arkel, Azad Pea-3, Kashi Ageti
II	5	Pant Matar-2, Kashi Samradhi, Mithi Phali, PC-531, Kashi Uday
III	4	ArkaAjit, Pusa Pragati, Arka Kartik, VL-3
IV	3	Kashi Shakti, Kashi Samrath, Bonneville

V	3	ArkaSampoorna, Arka Priya, Solan Nirog
---	---	--

**Table 4 Average Inter and intra cluster (D2) distances in twenty germplasms of pea**

Clusters	I	II	III	IV	V
<b>I</b>	<b>2.264</b>				
<b>II</b>	3.607	<b>2.233</b>			
<b>III</b>	3.419	3.066	<b>1.605</b>		
<b>IV</b>	4.746	5.211	3.449	<b>2.129</b>	
<b>V</b>	3.403	3.204	2.373	4.071	<b>1.481</b>

**Table 5 Cluster mean for ~~10~~ ten characters of different genotypes of pea**

Cluster s		Days to Germinatio n	50% Flowerin g	Plant height (cm)	Number of Pods per plant	Seeds per Pods	Lengt h of Pod	Width of Pod (cm)	Days to Maturit y	Pod yield per plant (gm)	Pod yield (q/ha)
<b>I</b>	<b>Mean</b>	6.29	39.69	46.59	11.05	6.91	7.63	1.21	69.41	51.77	95.72
<b>II</b>	<b>Mean</b>	7.05	48.76	60.07	8.96	6.32	6.51	<b>1.26</b>	75.99	42.32	80.56
<b>III</b>	<b>Mean</b>	6.67	57.18	73.42	12.37	6.7	7.41	1.18	88.52	44.85	83.3
<b>IV</b>	<b>Mean</b>	7.13	<b>58.69</b>	<b>75.61</b>	<b>15.78</b>	6.98	<b>7.64</b>	1.21	<b>99.44</b>	<b>69.16</b>	<b>109.74</b>
<b>V</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>7.16</b>	46.91	73.08	8.13	<b>7.24</b>	7.53	1.17	87.47	49.75	83.01

Formatted Table

## References

1. Blixt, S. (1970). Pisum. In genetic resources in plants- Their Exploration and Conservation. O.H.
2. Choudhary, B. (1990). Vegetables, National Book Trust, India, A-5 Green Park, New Delhi-16.
3. Kumar, R. and Kumar, M., (2016). Estimation of genetic divergence in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L. var. *hortense*) germplasm to facilitate the selection of potential parents for hybridization programmes. *Legume Research*, **39(5)**: 709-712.
4. Kumar, Rakesh., Kumar, Manish., Dogra, K.R., and Bharat, K.N. (2015). Variability and character association studies in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* var. *hortense* L.) *Agricultural research communication centre legume research*, **38(2)**: 164-168.
5. Mahalanobis, P. C. (1936). On the generalized distance in statistics. Proceedings of National Institute of Sciences (India). **2 (1)**:49-55.
6. Martin, R. J., Wilson, D. R., Butler, R. C, Riddle, M. U., Russell A. C., and Catherwood, D. (2008), Improving field pea yields on farm in canterbury. *Proceedings of the Agronomy Society of New Zealand*. **38(4)**:41-50.
7. Nisar, M., Ghafoor, A., Ahmad, H., Khan, M. R., Qureshi, A.S., Ali, H. and Islam, M. (2008). Evaluation of genetic diversity of pea germplasm through phenotypic trait analysis. *Pak. J. Bot.*, **40(5)**: 2081-2086.
8. Rao, C. R. (1952). Advance Statistical Methods in Biometrical Research. Its Ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
9. Sajjad, M., Khan, S. and Khan, A. S. (2011). Exploitation of germplasm for grain yield improvement in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, **13**: 695-700.
10. Shrivastava V, Lal GM, Chandra K, Singh CM. (2012). Estimation of genetic distance in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Environment and Ecology*. **30(1)**:136-138.
11. Singh, D. and Mishra, V.K., (2008) Studies on genetic divergence in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Agricultural Science Digest*, **28(1)**:77- 78.
12. Supe, V.S., Patil, S. D. and Joshi, V.R. (2013). Genetic variability and diversity studies in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *J. of Agriculture Research and Tech.*, **38(2)**: 196-200.

13. Tara Satyavati, C., Bhat, K. V., Bhardwaj, Ch., Tiwari S. P. and Chaudhary Vipin Kumar (2006). "AFLP based DNA profiling and genetic diversity assessment of Indian Soybean [*Glycine max.* (L)] varieties", *Genetic Resources and crop evaluation*. 53:1069-1079.
14. Thamburaj, S. and Singh, N., (2005). Text book of vegetables, tuber crops and spices. *ICAR, New Delhi*. pp.196-200
15. Vipin Kumar, Shailendra Sharma, Amit Kumar Sharma, Mukesh Kumar, Shiveta Sharma Sunil Malik, K.P.Singh and K.V. Bhat (2008). Study of genetic diversity in Indian common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) using random amplified polymorphic DNA markers. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants*, 14(4) : 383-387.
16. Vipin Kumar, Shailendra Sharma, Shubham Kero, Shiveta Sharma, Amit Kumar Sharma, Mukesh Kumar and K. Venkataramana Bhat (2008), "Assesment of genetic diversity in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) germplasm using Amplified Fregment Length Polymorphism (AFLP)". *Scientia Horticulturae*, **116**: 138-143
17. Wills, R., Barry M.G., Doung, G. and Daryl, J. (1998). Post harvest; An introduction to the handling of fruits vegetable and ornamentals, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. *University of New South Wales (UNSW) press Ltd. Australia*.