

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_90796
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Effect of Bio-Fertilizers, Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers on Flowering, Corms and Cormel Yield Attributes of Gladiolus (Gladiolus Grandiflorus L.) cv. Nova Lux
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Interesting work and worthy content. Main changes are necessary.</p> <p>1) A table of material is lacking indicating exactly what ingredients are used. (see trace below)</p> <p>2) The statistical processing part is lacking in two senses:</p> <p>a) there is a lack of statistical processing at least among each of the 13 groups vs <b>Control</b> - this can be easily remedied by bolding mean values significantly above the Control;</p> <p>b) but moreover each T3-T14 must be contrasted to T2 because T2-T0 is truly chemical not biofertilizer effect - this can be easily remedied by putting and * when significant above the T2;</p> <p>C) is missing a summary of the percentage incidence of the set of variables (which are positively correlated with each other) -this can be remedied by inserting a column on the right that shows the average of the percentage change as shown below in table.</p> <p>In this way it will be easier to express a summary of the results that on average improve by 29.4% + - 10.2% vs T1 and 16.6%+-9.1% vs T2 in the result and in the abstract.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Giorgio Masoero
Department, University & Country	Italy