

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_90734
Title of the Manuscript:	Strategies for improvement in cultivation practices of oyster mushrooms in North Bengal, India
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The research area is interesting as it is about food security. However, write-up is weak support of past studies on the research gap. Need more of past studies to support the current research gap and the need to conduct it particularly in Bengal, India- There is a need to ensure that all the Tables and Figures presented are with clear explanations (interpret the numbers in the tables and figures) Eg. Table 1- Table 8 and Figure 1-Figure 2. There are many tables and graphs but no clear interpretation for those tables and figures.- Check and ensure that all in-text citations in the write-up is in the list and all the references are in the in-text. Eg. Alabtan, M.K. and Owine, M.A., 2020 is not in the text etc.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The write-up needs to have clearer write-up on the process and justifications of the research been conducted. Eg the duration, and the reasons on the duration etc.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chiam Chooi Chea
Department, University & Country	Open University Malaysia, Malaysia