

“VARIABILITY, CORRELATION AND PATH ANALYSIS FOR GRAIN YIELD CHARACTERS OF RICE (*Oryza sativa* L.) GENOTYPES”

ABSTRACT

Thirty- four accessions of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes (26 Advanced breeding lines, 7 Dhan varieties and one check) were investigated out at the Field Experimentation Center, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during *Kharif*-2021 in Randomized Block Design with three replications to study variability, correlation and path analysis for yield contributing characters. NDR 359 rice variety was used as the check. The data was recorded for 13 quantitative traits using agro-morphological traits. The characters with high range estimates of GCV and PCV (Grain yield per hill), heritability (days to maturity) and genetic advance as percent mean (number of spikelets per panicle,) should be given top priority during selection. For character association, grain yield per hill showed highly positive significance with flag leaf length, number of spikelets per panicle, biological yield, harvest index, number of tillers per hill, biological yield. Days to 50% flowering, flag leaf width, number of panicle per hill, biological yield, harvest index showed positive direct effect at both genotypic and phenotypic level indicating their importance in breeding programme.

Key words: Rice, Advanced Breeding Lines (ABL's), Genetic variability, heritability, Genetic advance, Correlation, Path-coefficient analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the principal food crop, feeds over half of the world population providing employment to millions of people with enormous societal impacts. Rice is the main source of food for approximately half of the world's population and hence, may be the most important plant on the earth (**Shimamoto, 1995; Goff, 1999**). Since it is a staple food crop, it accounts for about 48 percent of total food grain production in India. Hence, we must produce 40 percent more rice by 2025 to satisfy the growing demand without adversely affecting the resource base (**Khush, 2006**). Grain yield is a complex character, which depends on its main components *viz.*, number of effective tillers, panicle length, number of grains per panicle and 1000 grain weight.

The variability parameters such as coefficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance are used for devising suitable selection strategy for high yield in rice crop while making selection for high yielding genotypes, the choice of parents plays a vital role for successful breeding programme. Knowledge concerning heritability helps the plant breeders to predict the nature of the succeeding generation, to make an appropriate selection and to assess the magnitude of genetic improvement through selection (**Tuhina-Khatun et al. 2007**). Heritability along with genetic advance is considerate in estimating the genetic gain under selection than heritability estimates alone (**Karim et al. 2007**). Character association derived by correlation coefficient helps in evaluation of relative influence of various component characters on grain yield. Correlation coefficient measures the mutual relationship between various plant characters and determines the component characters on which selection can be based for genetic improvement in yield and quality. Path coefficient analysis picks out correlation into direct and indirect effects. In path analysis, the correlation coefficient between two traits is separated into the components which measure the direct and indirect effects (**Ahmadizadeh et al. 2011**). Path co-efficient analysis provides an exact picture of the relative importance of direct and indirect effects of each of the component character towards yield. To study the interrelationships between different yield attributing traits, the direct and indirect effects of different characters were worked out using path analysis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

34 diverse genotypes of rice aimed to develop high yielding from different geographical origin were transplanted in the Randomized block design with 3 replications conducted at the Experimental Farm of the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Naini Agricultural Institute, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh during *Kharif*-2021. Twenty one days old seedlings were transplanted by adopting a spacing of 15cm between plants and 20cm between rows. Important precautions were taken to maintain uniform plant growth population in each treatment. All the observations were recorded and analysis done. Observations were recorded on randomly selected five plants for 13 quantitative traits *viz.*, days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), number of tillers per hill, number of panicles per hill, panicle length (cm), flag leaf length (cm), flag leaf width (cm), number of spikelet's per panicle, days to maturity, biological yield per hill (g), harvest index, test weight and grain yield per hill (g). The data thus generated were subjected to statistical analysis for the objectives to assess the genetic variability, genetic diversity and to identify the high yielding lines besides divergent parents for future hybridization programme. Analysis for the characters were done by using **Singh and Chaudhary (1995)**. Correlation coefficient by **Falconer (1964)** and **Dewey and Lu (1959)** for path analysis.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance showed significant differences among the 34 genotypes which indicates the possibility to select promising lines from the existing germplasm. The presence of high amount of variability might be due to diverse source of materials taken as well as environmental influence affecting the phenotypes (**Marker et al. (2016)**).

The mean data of 34 genotypes revealed that the genotypes SHUATS DHAN-6 (62.133 gm), SHUATS DHAN-2 (51.467 gm), SHUATS DHAN-3 (46.733 gm), SHUATS DHAN-7 (43 gm), ABLR-21 (42.07gms), were found to be superior in grain yield. The mean performance of grain yield was ranged from 16.68g to 62.13g. (**Lavanya et al. (2011), Jadav et al. (2011)**).

The estimates GCV and PCV revealed that phenotypic coefficient of variance higher than genotypic coefficient of variance, which indicate presence of environment effects on expression on characters studied. High GCV is observed for grain yield per hill (30.7%) followed by number of spikelets per panicle (20.87%) and for 10 superior ones 10 superior genotypes, GCV found high in Starch (53.8%) followed by amylose content (38.78%). PCV (%) followed a similar pattern. It ranged from grain yield per hill (33.15%) to number of total tillers per hill (22.38%). In case of superior one, PCV ranged from starch (53.988%) to grain yield per hill (20.048%) (**Krishna et al. (2014), Harsh et al. (2015), Saha et al. (2019)**).

Estimates of heritability in broad sense revealed that the highest heritability ranged from days to maturity (91.379), to Number of panicles per hill (75.109). For the best 10 superior genotypes, high heritability ranged from amylose (99.836) to grain yield per hill (63.433%) (**Thirumeni et al. (2018), Kumar et al. (2020)**).

Genetic advance as percent mean estimates revealed maximum range from Number of spikelet's per panicle (111.928%) to Flag leaf width (0.313%) and for eight biochemical character, high GA ranged from number of spikelets per panicle (146.6%) to biological yield (39.91%) and low found ranged from panicle length (8.435%) to protein (0.153%) (**Mian et al. (2016), Singh et al. (2017)**). High Genetic Advance as percent mean were ranged from grain yield per hill (58.912) to flag leaf width (25.3%). Low genetic advance as percent mean was not observed. (**Joshi et al. (2020), Prajapathi et al. (2011)**).

Correlation between grain yield and other traits showed the positive high significant genotypic and phenotypic correlation for number of spikelet per panicle (0.425**), biological yield (0.688**) and harvest index (0.668**) for 13 quantitative characters indicate that the characters are positively governed by additive gene action and useful improvement (**Suresh et al. (2015), Ansari et al. (2017), Abida et al. (2020)**). As the correlation coefficient is in sufficient to explain true relationship for an effective multiplication of the characters path coefficient was worked out. The results of path analysis showed that the traits which had positively direct effect were days to 50% flowering, flag leaf width, number of panicle per hill, panicle length, days to maturity, biological yield, harvest index at genotypic level. The traits like days to 50% flowering, flag leaf width, number of panicle per hill, biological yield, harvest index at phenotypic level (**Saxena et al. (2017), Abida et al. (2020)**).

Table1.1: ANOVA for 13 quantitative characters of rice germplasm during *Kharif*- 2021

| Sl .No. | Character | Mean sum of squares | | |
|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | Replication (Df=2) | Treatment (Df=33) | Error (Df=66) |
| 1 | Days to 50 flowering | 2.330 | 122.572** | 23.383 |
| 2 | Plant height | 22.5540 | 245.921** | 9.185 |
| 3 | Flag leaf length | 1.6420 | 74.448** | 6.886 |
| 4 | Flag leaf width | 0.0060 | 0.089** | 0.006 |
| 5 | Number of total tillers per hill | 0.6740 | 16.764** | 1.033 |
| 6 | Number of panicles per hill | 0.0420 | 13.565** | 1.349 |
| 7 | Panicle length | 0.4570 | 20.523** | 0.765 |
| 8 | Number of spikelets per panicle | 857.7970 | 10432.575** | 431.019 |
| 9 | Days to maturity | 0.7110 | 174.179** | 5.311 |
| 10 | Biological yield | 50.0950 | 751.28** | 34.189 |
| 11 | Harvest Index | 22.0140 | 354.549** | 21.427 |
| 12 | Test weight | 2.9620 | 75.186** | 3.822 |
| 13 | Grain yield per hill | 8.9460 | 313.96** | 15.819 |

* and ** indicates significant at 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively

| S.No. | Traits | GCV | PCV | h ² (Broad Sense) | Genetic Advancement | Gen. Adv as % of Mean |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | Days to 50 flowering | 6.442 | 8.417 | 58.574 | 9.065 | 10.156 |
| 2 | Plant height | 6.801 | 7.186 | 89.574 | 17.319 | 13.26 |
| 3 | Flag leaf length | 12 | 13.712 | 76.582 | 8.555 | 21.632 |
| 4 | Flag leaf width | 13.478 | 14.792 | 83.027 | 0.313 | 25.3 |
| 5 | Number of total tillers per hill | 20.458 | 22.383 | 83.544 | 4.312 | 38.521 |
| 6 | Number of panicles per hill | 18.553 | 21.408 | 75.109 | 3.603 | 33.123 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|
| 7 | Panicle length | 9.27 | 9.794 | 89.597 | 5.004 | 18.076 |
| 8 | Number of spikelets per panicle | 28.362 | 30.14 | 88.552 | 111.928 | 54.98 |
| 9 | Days to maturity | 6.284 | 6.573 | 91.379 | 14.774 | 12.373 |
| 10 | Biological yield | 22.521 | 24.078 | 87.487 | 29.79 | 43.394 |
| 11 | Harvest Index | 22.258 | 24.311 | 83.824 | 19.874 | 41.98 |
| 12 | Test weight | 20.928 | 22.547 | 86.157 | 9.326 | 40.017 |
| 13 | Grain yield per hill | 30.79 | 33.15 | 86.268 | 19.074 | 58.912 |

| Traits | PH | FLL | FLW | NTTPH | NPPH | PL | NSPP | DM | BY | HI | TW | GYPH | | | |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| DFP-50 | 0.0569 ^{NS} | 0.305* | 0.294* | 0.458** | 0.551** | -0.0281 ^{NS} | -0.0879 ^{NS} | 0.754** | 0.293* | -0.285* | -0.1569 ^{NS} | 0.0469 ^{NS} | | | |
| PH | 1.0000 | 0.1931 ^{NS} | 0.331** | -0.0847 ^{NS} | -0.1807 ^{NS} | 0.312* | 0.200* | 0.0248 ^{NS} | 0.283* | 0.0904 ^{NS} | 0.1618 ^{NS} | 0.248* | | | |
| FLL | | 1.0000 | 0.1855 ^{NS} | 0.231* | 0.213* | 0.381** | 0.1587 ^{NS} | 0.238* | 0.394** | 0.1323 ^{NS} | 0.0099 ^{NS} | 0.374** | | | |
| FLW | | | 1.0000 | 0.315* | 0.1444 ^{NS} | 0.1623 ^{NS} | 0.369** | 0.1038 ^{NS} | 0.1687 ^{NS} | -0.0002 ^{NS} | -0.0783 ^{NS} | 0.1108 ^{NS} | | | |
| NTTPH | | | | 1.0000 | 0.907** | -0.1292 ^{NS} | -0.0202 ^{NS} | 0.444** | 0.284* | -0.0966 ^{NS} | -0.277* | 0.1811 ^{NS} | | | |
| NPPH | | | | | 1.0000 | -0.0741 ^{NS} | -0.0409 ^{NS} | 0.544** | 0.359** | -0.0351 ^{NS} | -0.207* | 0.291* | | | |
| PL | PH | FLL | FLW | NTTPH | NPPH | PL | NSPP | DM | BY | HI | TW | GYPH | | | |
| DFP-50 | 0.0358 ^{NS} | 0.213* | 0.1700 ^{NS} | 0.331** | 0.380** | -0.0328 ^{NS} | -0.0804 ^{NS} | 0.1611 ^{NS} | 0.0219 ^{NS} | 0.1917 ^{NS} | 0.283** | -0.1620 ^{NS} | -0.1031 ^{NS} | 0.469** | 0.0503 ^{NS} |
| DM | 1.0000 | 0.1827 ^{NS} | 0.326** | -0.0659 ^{NS} | -0.1342 ^{NS} | 0.306* | 0.1892 ^{NS} | 1.0000 | 0.4629** | 0.246* | -0.343** | 0.0694 ^{NS} | 0.258* | 0.1517 ^{NS} | 0.214* |
| BY | | 1.0000 | 0.1921 ^{NS} | 0.1937 ^{NS} | 0.1814 ^{NS} | 0.344** | 0.1457 ^{NS} | 0.1927 ^{NS} | 1.0000 | 0.355** | 0.0490 ^{NS} | 0.0986 ^{NS} | 0.230* | 0.0399 ^{NS} | 0.320* |
| FLW | | | 1.0000 | 0.277* | 0.1295 ^{NS} | 0.1578 ^{NS} | 0.338** | 0.0724 ^{NS} | 0.1614 ^{NS} | 1.0000 | 0.007408** | -0.0487 ^{NS} | 0.062** | 0.120 ^{NS} | |
| NTTPH | | | | 1.0000 | 0.901** | -0.0735 ^{NS} | -0.0185 ^{NS} | 0.385** | 0.262* | -0.0783 ^{NS} | 1.0000 | -0.297#13 | 0.1696 ^{NS} | | |
| NPPH | | | | | 1.0000 | -0.0303 ^{NS} | -0.0368 ^{NS} | 0.448** | 0.317* | -0.0427 ^{NS} | -0.1728 ^{NS} | 0.242* | | | |
| PL | | | | | | 1.0000 | 0.1259 ^{NS} | -0.1288 ^{NS} | 0.0248 ^{NS} | 0.246* | 0.1213 ^{NS} | 0.1855 ^{NS} | | | |
| NSPP | | | | | | | 1.0000 | -0.0475 ^{NS} | 0.363** | 0.273* | -0.311* | 0.425** | | | |
| DM | | | | | | | | 1.0000 | 0.270* | -0.319* | -0.225* | -0.0106 ^{NS} | | | |
| BY | | | | | | | | | 1.0000 | -0.0655 ^{NS} | -0.1721 ^{NS} | 0.688** | | | |
| HI | | | | | | | | | | 1.0000 | 0.348** | 0.668** | | | |
| TW | | | | | | | | | | | 1.0000 | 0.1174 ^{NS} | | | |

| Traits | DFE-50 | PH | FLL | FLW | NTTPH | NPPH | PL | NSPP | DM | BY | HI | TW | GYPH |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| DFE-50 | 0.0104 | 0.0006 | 0.0032 | 0.0031 | 0.0048 | 0.0058 | -0.0003 | -0.0009 | 0.0111 | 0.0031 | -0.0030 | -0.0016 | 0.0469 |
| PH | -0.0007 | -0.0130 | -0.0025 | -0.0043 | 0.0011 | 0.0023 | -0.0041 | -0.0026 | -0.0003 | -0.0037 | -0.0012 | -0.0021 | 0.248* |
| FLL | -0.0086 | -0.0055 | -0.0283 | -0.0052 | -0.0065 | -0.0060 | -0.0108 | -0.0045 | -0.0067 | -0.0111 | -0.0037 | -0.0003 | 0.374** |
| FLW | 0.0013 | 0.0014 | 0.0008 | 0.0043 | 0.0014 | 0.0006 | 0.0007 | 0.0016 | 0.0004 | 0.0007 | 0.0000 | -0.0003 | 0.1108 |
| NTTPH | -0.0042 | 0.0008 | -0.0021 | -0.0029 | -0.0091 | -0.0083 | 0.0012 | 0.0002 | -0.0040 | -0.0026 | 0.0009 | 0.0025 | 0.1811 |
| NPPH | 0.0218 | -0.0071 | 0.0084 | 0.0057 | 0.0359 | 0.0396 | -0.0029 | -0.0016 | 0.0215 | 0.0142 | -0.0014 | -0.0082 | 0.291* |
| PL | -0.0004 | 0.0042 | 0.0051 | 0.0022 | -0.0017 | -0.0010 | 0.0134 | 0.0020 | -0.0022 | -0.0003 | 0.0039 | 0.0013 | 0.1813 |
| NSPP | 0.0055 | -0.0124 | -0.0098 | -0.0229 | 0.0013 | 0.0025 | -0.0091 | -0.0620 | 0.0037 | -0.0261 | -0.0176 | 0.0219 | 0.469** |
| DM | 0.0036 | 0.0001 | 0.0008 | 0.0004 | 0.0015 | 0.0019 | -0.0005 | -0.0002 | 0.0034 | 0.0010 | -0.0012 | -0.0009 | 0.0057 |
| BY | 0.2237 | 0.2160 | 0.3014 | 0.1290 | 0.2172 | 0.2748 | -0.0167 | 0.3215 | 0.2243 | 0.7646 | -0.0375 | -0.1761 | 0.709** |
| HI | -0.2089 | 0.0662 | 0.0968 | -0.0002 | -0.0707 | -0.0257 | 0.2126 | 0.2074 | -0.2512 | -0.0359 | 0.7319 | 0.2987 | 0.662** |
| TW | 0.0034 | -0.0035 | -0.0002 | 0.0017 | 0.0060 | 0.0045 | -0.0022 | 0.0077 | 0.0056 | 0.0050 | -0.0089 | -0.0218 | 0.1131 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------------|
| GYPH | 0.0469 | 0.248* | 0.374** | 0.1108 | 0.1811 | 0.291* | 0.1813 | 0.469** | 0.0057 | 0.709** | 0.662** | 0.1131 | 1.0000 |
|-------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------------|

| Traits | DFE-50 | PH | FLL | FLW | NTTPH | NPPH | PL | NSPP | DM | BY | HI | TW | GYPH |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| DFE-50 | 0.0172 | 0.0006 | 0.0037 | 0.0029 | 0.0057 | 0.0065 | -0.0006 | -0.0014 | 0.0129 | 0.0033 | -0.0028 | -0.0018 | 0.0503 |
| PH | 0.0000 | -0.0013 | -0.0002 | -0.0004 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | -0.0004 | -0.0003 | 0.0000 | -0.0003 | -0.0001 | -0.0002 | 0.214* |
| FLL | -0.0034 | -0.0029 | -0.0161 | -0.0031 | -0.0031 | -0.0029 | -0.0055 | -0.0023 | -0.0031 | -0.0057 | -0.0016 | -0.0006 | 0.320* |
| FLW | 0.0016 | 0.0031 | 0.0019 | 0.0096 | 0.0027 | 0.0012 | 0.0015 | 0.0033 | 0.0007 | 0.0016 | 0.0000 | -0.0005 | 0.1120 |
| NTTPH | -0.0074 | 0.0015 | -0.0043 | -0.0062 | -0.0224 | -0.0201 | 0.0016 | 0.0004 | -0.0086 | -0.0059 | 0.0017 | 0.0053 | 0.1696 |
| NPPH | 0.0191 | -0.0068 | 0.0091 | 0.0065 | 0.0453 | 0.0503 | -0.0015 | -0.0019 | 0.0225 | 0.0159 | -0.0021 | -0.0087 | 0.242* |
| PL | 0.0001 | -0.0007 | -0.0008 | -0.0004 | 0.0002 | 0.0001 | -0.0024 | -0.0003 | 0.0003 | -0.0001 | -0.0006 | -0.0003 | 0.1855 |
| NSPP | 0.0044 | -0.0104 | -0.0080 | -0.0185 | 0.0010 | 0.0020 | -0.0069 | -0.0547 | 0.0026 | -0.0199 | -0.0150 | 0.0170 | 0.425** |
| DM | -0.0065 | -0.0001 | -0.0017 | -0.0006 | -0.0033 | -0.0038 | 0.0011 | 0.0004 | -0.0086 | -0.0023 | 0.0027 | 0.0019 | -0.0106 |
| BY | 0.1430 | 0.1833 | 0.2645 | 0.1205 | 0.1957 | 0.2361 | 0.0185 | 0.2710 | 0.2017 | 0.7462 | -0.0489 | -0.1284 | 0.688** |
| HI | -0.1203 | 0.0515 | 0.0732 | 0.0005 | -0.0581 | -0.0317 | 0.1830 | 0.2031 | -0.2366 | -0.0487 | 0.7428 | 0.2584 | 0.668** |
| TW | 0.0025 | -0.0037 | -0.0010 | 0.0012 | 0.0058 | 0.0043 | -0.0030 | 0.0077 | 0.0055 | 0.0042 | -0.0086 | -0.0247 | 0.1174 |
| GYPH | 0.0503 | 0.214* | 0.320* | 0.1120 | 0.1696 | 0.242* | 0.1855 | 0.425** | -0.0106 | 0.688** | 0.668** | 0.1174 | 1.0000 |

CONCLUSION

The above criteria of plant type may be considered at the initial stage for selecting the desired genotypes, in which number of spikelet per panicle, biological yield, harvest index and yield can form the basis of selection criteria. These characters were highly significant and put forwarded for further process. Direct effect and indirect effect on yield is estimated on the basis of path analysis.

REFERENCE

- Ahmadizadeh, and Mostafa. (2011).** Correlated response of morpho-physiological traits of grain yield in durum wheat under normal irrigation and drought stress conditions in greenhouse. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **10** (85): 71-79.
- Bhati, M., Suresh, B. G. and Aishwarya, S. R. (2015).** Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient for grain yield and quantitative traits of elite Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes at Uttar Pradesh. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **6** (2): 586-591.
- Goff, S. A. (1999).** Rice as a model for cereal genomics. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, **2**:86-89.
- Harsh, V., Shekhawat, S., Singh, R. P. and Yashpal. (2015).** Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm lines. *Annals of Agri-Bio Research*, **2** (1): 22-25.
- Islam, M. Z., Khalequzzaman, M., Bashir, M. K., Ivy, N. A., Haque, M. M. and Mian, M. A. K. (2016).** Variability assessment of aromatic and fine rice germplasm in Bangladesh based on quantitative traits. *The Scientific World Journal*, **1**:1-14.
- Kalpana, K., Thirumeni, S., Vengadessan, V. and Mohammed, Y. (2018).** Genetic variability studies for yield and quality traits in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Applied Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences*, **9** (2): 224-227.
- Khush, K. (2006).** Rice Research challenge for the 21st century. *J. Rice Research*, **1** (1): 12-18.
- Karim, D., Sarkar, U., Siddique, M. N. A., Khaleque, M. A and Hasnat, M. Z. (2007).** Variability and genetic parameter analysis in aromatic Rice. *International Journal of Sustainable Crop Production*, **2** (5):15-18.
- Krishna, L., Raju, C. R., Kumar, S. S., Reddy, S. N. and Bhave, M. H. V. (2014).** Genetic variability studies for qualitative and quantitative traits in F generation of aromatic Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *The Journal of Research Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University*, **42** (3): 26-30.

- Kumar, S. and Singh, A. K. (2017).** Variability, heritability and genetic advance in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Asian Journal of Bio Science*, **12**: 244-277.
- Kumar, S., Bhuvanewari, S., Lamalakshmi, D. E., Sharma, S. K, Ansari. M. A., Singh, Y. R. and Narendra. R. (2017).** Estimation of genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in short duration Rice genotypes of Manipur. *Journal of Agri Search*, **4** (2): 112-118.
- Kumar, C. P. S., Naik, M., Joshi, J. L. and Rajan, R. E. B. (2020).** Variability studies among Rice genotypes (*Oryza savita* L.) under coastal saline condition. *Plant Archives*, **20** (1):738-740.
- Nithya, N., Beena, .R., Stephen, R., Abida P. S. , Jayalekshmi, V. G ., Viji, M. M. and Manju, R. V. (2020).** Genetic variability, heritability, correlation coefficient and path analysis of morpho-physiological and yield related traits of Rice under drought stress. *Chemical Science Review and Letters*, **9** (33):48-54.
- Prajapati, M. K., Singh, C. M., Suresh, B. G., Lavanya, G. R. and Jadav, P. (2011).** Genetic parameters for grain yield and its component characters in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **2** (2): 235-238.
- Saha, S. R., Hassan, L., Haque, M. A., Islam. and Rasel, M. (2019),** Genetic variability, heritability, correlation and path analysis of yield components in traditional Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) landraces. *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University*, **17** (1): 26-32.
- Sahu, H., Saxena, R. R. and Verulkar, S. B. (2017).** Genetic variability and character association study for different morphological traits and path analysis for grain yield of rice under irrigated and rainfed condition. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **8** (1): 38-45.
- Shimamoto, K. (1995).**The molecular biology of rice. *Science*, 270:172-173.
- Tuhina-Khatun., Newaz, M. A. and Bari. M. A. A. (2007).** Combining ability and heritability estimates in F2 diallel population of spring wheat under interacting environments. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **34** : 75-82.
- Vinoth, R., Shivramakrishnan, R., Sivaji, M., Tamilkumar, P., Kumar, B. and Marker, S. (2016).** Genetic analysis and correlation studies for grain yield in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under the Allahabad agro-climatic region. *International Journal of Forestry and Crop Improvement*, **7** (1): 93-100.

