

Journal Name:	<a href="#">InternationalJournalofEnvironmentandClimateChange</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_90208
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on affordable homestead implements for stress reduction on body parts amongst farm workers of Khammam district
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The article addresses an interesting topic, which is the impact of household implements on reducing physical damage in women farmers, using data from Khammam district. The manuscript is well written and it is easy to follow the authors' reasoning. They find an important and useful result: the use of simple homemade implements contributes both to reducing ergonomic stress on the body and to improving work efficiency.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In my opinion, the manuscript is almost ready for publication, but it needs a minor revision covering the following aspects: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A brief explanation/discussion on the concepts of "Adaptability" and "Stress Level" may be presented. When reading the article, it is understood that the data on such variables were obtained through interviews. But this needs to be precisely described in the text. Some few examples may help with the exposition.</li> <li>2. The methodology used to generate the estimates presented could be better presented. Were averages used? What is the sample size associated with each household implement?</li> <li>3. I suggest additionally presenting the costs in another currency, such as the dollar, for example.</li> <li>4. At least as a suggestion for a future topic, the authors could approach a methodological advance in which the effects of treatments, associated with the considered household items, could be compared, when possible, to some type of placebo.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Wilson Calmon Almeida dos Santos
Department, University & Country	Fluminense Federal University, Brazil