

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_90020
Title of the Manuscript:	Profile of Farmers in Ananthapuramu District Adopting CSA Technologies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</p> <p>My Overall Evaluation [Ms_IJECC_90020]</p> <p>Accepted with major revisions</p> <p>Accepted with moderate revisions</p> <p>This paper presents a study on “Profile of Farmers in Ananthapuramu District Adopting CSA Technologies”. It is based on a set of objectives that consolidate the literature. The paper contains original and interesting information, which would attract civic-minded Scientist who are concerned with more than just advancing their careers. They want to make the world a better place, as much of the review assessment are useful to the readers in the world at large. The results of this paper bring some useful information. The topic of this paper falls within the scope of the International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. The contribution of this paper sounds good; the contents are well performed. I would like to suggest the acceptance of this paper after moderate revision. This is an engaging article that purposefully question our knowledge of the subject. Author write clear novelty related to topic Some detailed comments and suggestions are given as follows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The article title is appropriate. • The abstract of the article is written sparsely and should be written in regular order and the results should be clearly stated. The abstract is not representative of the content and contributions of the paper. The abstract does not seem to properly convey the rigor of research. It will be appreciative if abstract should lay out five key points: <p>Rationale (1-2 sentences) - why was the research needed? Objective (1 sentence)- what were you trying to provide to meet that need? Method(s) (up to 3 sentences) - briefly summarize what and which parameters were measured. Results (up to 4-5 sentences)- what did you find? Please add some data to demonstrate the findings. Conclusions/Recommendations (1 sentence) - so what should be done with or in response to your findings? Conclusions/Recommendations (1 sentence) - so what should be done with or in response to your findings?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keywords is appropriate. • The purpose or purported significance of the article is explicitly stated. • The research study methods are not explained clearly and need to be comprehensive. However, author should add inclusive and exclusive criteria. Lots of Information is still missing. Please check the accuracy of this section. -Would you please explain more in the study area? • Kindly focus on three basic elements of the methods section. 	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>a. How the study was designed? b. How the study was carried out? c. How the data were analyzed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are lots grammatical and spelling mistakes. Please double check you text and correct them all. • Academic English: Authors should use transitional words mindfully to highlight clear and thoughtful connections among ideas. Please ensure that you avoid colloquial use of English. • The language can be substantially improved for clarity, concision, better grammar and logic. Thus, the manuscript needs to be properly formatted and resubmitted? • You have a lot of hanging statement in your work. • All tables are necessary and should be place appropriately. Kindly clarify table 01 from table 1. • Novelty of the work is established. • The result section needs to be written clearly for better understanding. More explanation about details of result is needed. • Discussion section: This section need to be improved and must consist of following components: Describe the major findings of your study in the opening sentence. Correlation of your results with previous literature is essential. So, discuss your own results before relating them to the results of other published work. Then correlate your work with at least 7-8 recent publications either in support or in contradiction for justification of results. Author should state the outcome of other study findings when comparing with your own study. • Conclusion should be improved. The conclusions is accurate and supported by the content. • Add 2-3 lines about future recommendation or implications of research in last portion. • The research contributions of the paper should be articulated more clearly. • The references are not comprehensive. Author can add more recent reference/study. • There is enough new content in this paper to distinguish it from other works. • The submission provides enough new material for journal publication. <p>This suggestion would strengthen the study further and when addressed will improve the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raimi Morufu Olalekan
Department, University & Country	Niger Delta University, Nigeria