

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_89826
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Biofertilizers and Potassium on Yield and Economics of Yellow mustard (<i>Brassica campestris</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Mention the name of crop under study and a brief objective of the study ● Write “ @ “ instead of “at”. <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The objective of the study needs to be mentioned. <p>Materials and method:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The initial soil properties of the experimental site should be given ● Mention about the parameters of study and the methods used ● Instead of kg/ha and g/kg seed write kg ha^{-1} and g kg^{-1} seed <p>Result and discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Rewrite and improve the discussion part with meaningful sentences ● In the table 1, instead of Sl. No. mention the treatment nos. (T₁, T₂,....) ● In table 2. mention the units of cost of cultivation, gross return and net return <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Instead of writing only the major findings, draw a conclusion based on the result 	
Minor REVISION comments	See comments N1 – N47	
Optional/General comments	There is a need to improve language and results and discussion part	

ART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nilim Kalita
Department, University & Country	Assam Agricultural University, India