

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_89664
Title of the Manuscript:	Germplasm evaluation, genetic variability ,heritability, genetic advance and character analysis in Scented and non-scented rice (Oryza sativa L.) under irrigated condition.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting study and paper is generally well written. However, in my opinion there are some shortcomings regarding the data analysis.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Tables are not well placed under each section, like on page no 5, Topic "Heritability and genetic advance in percent of mean", proper data is not provided to support the literature2. Likewise on page no 6, there is no details below the given table, its very difficult to understand and interpret the data in this manner3. The dataset has not been utilized to its full extent, it could be more elaborative and visualized with the help of graphical representations	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abstract could have been crisp and concise.2. Vague and long-winded sentences could have been avoided in the Introductory part	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. There are lot of grammatical mistakes2. I also suggest to cite more relevant and recent literature work as there are no reference placed from past 5 years research regarding the topic.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vinod Kumari
Department, University & Country	Panipat Institute of Engineering and Technology, India