

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_86347
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different inorganic fertilizers on growth and development of Dieffenbachia seguine (Jacq.) Schott grown under shade net condition
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript has good and practical application in agricultural sciences. it will help those dealing with ornamental plants to plan a proper fertilization schedule in order to gain maximum profit. However few corrections are needed in manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Most of lines/paragraphs in introduction part do not have sources / references mentioned. Also the 3 references given in introduction part are of same researchers. 2. In methodology kindly make it clear that how gap of 45 cm was kept between plants in polybag or whether gap was kept between poly bags. 3. The author mentions leaching loss in poly bags? Kindly mention source of information. 4. No previous study substantiates the results "Number of leaves/plant" 5. Role of nitrogen is repeated between results. 6. Include CV within analysis. 7. The author nowhere mentions the application of micronutrients/ other fertilizers in methodology, however writes" Although all fertilizers applied have role but macronutrient plays major role in growth and development". Needs clarification. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. References given are old. New literature should be included. 2. Repetition of sentences should be avoided 3. References need a uniform formatting. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tables can be merged to make it more sorted 2. Introduction can be written in better way 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Syed Hujjat Ul Baligah
Department, University & Country	Sher-I-Kashmir University of Agri, sciences and technology, India