

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_86347
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different inorganic fertilizers on growth and development of Dieffenbachia seguine (Jacq.) Schott grown under shade net condition
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title</b> Is too long. Please restructure <b>abstract</b> It captured where the study was done. please add how it was done....saying randomized ...is not sufficient <b>Keyword</b> increase to 5 words <b>Introduction</b> Literature discussion is insufficient. Lacks referencing and citation. Minimum number of words should be 500 words Lacks research objectives/aim? Please discuss what NPK is at first mention in your discussion include what new thing the study is bringing What is the chemistry and biochemistry of NPK in the soil and when absorbed...please include. <b>materials and methods</b> sample collection and preparation was omitted please add. Also add google map or picture of the Dieffenbachia seguine to increase readership T1- N:P:K (1:1:1) – 2 g/poly bag at 30 days interval, T2- N:P:K (1:1:1) – 2 g/poly bag at 45 days interval .....please presnet in tabular form What methods were used to determine urea, phosphorous, potassium, nitrogen etc? did you follow EPA, WHO, FAO, indias national standard or protocol? did you invent the methodology yourself? if no please add sufficient <b>references</b>. Poly bags of 10 x 12 inches size .....picture should be added <b>Results and discussions</b> Number of leaves per plant, stem diameter,etc .....please add reference/compare with previosu findings For each sub-title discuss both the minimun and maximum treatment effects and what you think is responsible for the minimum effect. <b>Conclusion.</b> Improve on it. 2-5 key findings are expected <b>References.</b> twelve refereces are not sufficient. PLease references should be upto 25. Current published references (papers) will be preferred. They should follow a particular format. eg reference 6 had pp while reference 5 did not. After Patel B.N there was., in reference 3 and noting of that punctuation reference 4 etc. <b>Revise references</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Nkwoada Amarachi
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology Owerri, Nigeria