

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_91023
Title of the Manuscript:	HBV genetic diversity and transfusion safety: A Conceptual Analysis and Integrative Model
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journali-srr.com/index.php/I-SRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the Abstract, Background, the objective of the study lacks the integrative model aspect, an important component of the study.</p> <p>In the Methods section of the Abstract, the author should replace "We delimited" with "The researchers or the study delimited".</p> <p>Correct the Typing errors in the Methods section "January" and "December"</p> <p>Still in the Methods section of the Abstract, correct the typing error "quantify"</p> <p>You cannot start a sentence with a number. Hence rectify the first sentence of the results section of the Abstract. For instance, " A total of 2685.....". Same case with 144, in the same section.</p> <p>Remove unnecessary space between 0 and 6, same section</p> <p>Separate the "Abstract" from the "Introduction"</p> <p>In the second paragraph, write in full the "NAT"</p> <p>Correct typing errors Recipients, "Viruses" and "African"</p> <p>Add a space at the start of the second last sentence of the " Introduction" and through out the document</p> <p>In the last paragraph of introduction, correct " entitled to "titled"</p> <p>Replace the word "We" with "The researchers", "authors" or "study", throughout the document</p> <p>The research question was and not is</p> <p>In the "Quality of Study", the first sentence is incomplete or hanging consider adding at the end "according to so and so...."</p> <p>In the Methods section ad some details about the model such as "The model was created according to so and so....."</p> <p>In the second paragraph of Discussion, correct "Sub-Saharan" to sub-Saharan</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In Table 3 , correct the tying error</p> <p>The Table 3 is not a Table, It is a Figure, make the correction</p> <p>Add spaces between sentences in the Method section</p> <p>In the Data analysis, define what "JF", "CM" and "TN" stands for</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Consider breaking down sentences that are 5 and more sentences into a number of shorter sentences</p> <p>Consider removing hyphen between the in-text Vancouver Referencing and super-scripting them</p> <p>Remove the word "see" in the enclosed Figure and Table</p> <p>Consider using "Transitional words" such as "Therefore, Hence, Since, Consequently, subsequently, For that reason, Importantly", etc., in the document to connect smoothly ideas (sentences) and paragraphs</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mburu Samuel
Department, University & Country	Kirinyaga University, Kenya