

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_91168
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Natural Pigments Extracted from Tomato Wastes and the Possibility of their Encapsulation.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejnf.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The introduction section needs to be revised. Some information is repeated. Material and methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Indicate the final volume of the solvent mixture used, to know the concentration of the solution.- Need to provide more HPLC analysis conditions for lycopene- Mention the statistical tests used: what is the average test and under what conditions was it performed?- When discussing the extraction of pigments, compare with other authors and make it clear that the result obtained was for an industrial by-product, valuing the results.- Table 1: Some values are too close to have a significant difference. Review the statistical analysis to confirm.- In conclusion, be careful when talking about different methods for encapsulation. Wouldn't different proportions of solvents have been used?- Attention: some information repeated in the conclusion	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Attention: the tables do not have closing lines on the sides.- Standardize the use of by-products or waste.- English proofreading of the text required	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Larissa Morais Ribeiro Da Silva
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Ceara, Brazil