

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_89899
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation of <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> and <i>Acinetobacter radioresistens</i> from Dish wash Scrubbers
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Remove place of study to methodology in chapter three (3).</p> <p><u>Introduction – Serving (Service)</u></p> <p>Can viruses grow on inanimate objects?</p> <p>Became – should be changed to have become</p> <p>Spacing between disinfect and so</p> <p>Salmonella Sp. Repeated twice.</p> <p>So a study – should read.</p> <p>This study was conducted to isolate, identify the bacteria present in dish scrub pads.</p> <p><u>Materials/Methods</u></p> <p>3.1 – Isolation and identification of bacteria</p> <p>What informed your sample size of 10 dish wash scrub only? Is it the petridish or the agar plates or media that was used?</p> <p>Is it the different colonies or only the predominant colonies considered?</p> <p>What types bacteria?</p> <p>Two types, what happened to other isolated or growths?</p> <p>Where is your control for the study? – How are you sure that scrubs were sterile initially?</p> <p>Why are other growths neglected?</p> <p><u>Result/Discussion</u></p> <p>From diameter of the zone of inhibition, what diameter were sensitive for each of the antibiotics – for us to know what they were actually sensitive. What are the disc concentrations used and what is zone of diameter considered susceptible?</p> <p>In referencing do you use both name and number citations? I think one should be employed.</p> <p>Why was Acenectobacter resistant to the said drugs? The colonial morphology/biochemical should not be a main table, no statistical analysis, even percentages was not employed.</p> <p>Decontamination of scrubber by what means?</p> <p>There should be a table showing the percentage occurrences of the organisms isolated.</p> <p>References should be reviewed to be more current (2020 – 2022) be added.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amala Smart Enoch
Department, University & Country	Rivers State Unuiversity, Nigeria