

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_90772
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES OF THE UGANDAN TRADITIONAL MEDICINE SECTOR
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Congratulations to the authors for highlighting such an important topic through this Review Article. However I have few concerns as follows: i) It it's a Review article, is it required to give Methodology and Results section ii) Please reframe in Abstract –“ because of the life that they are safe. ” iii) There is mismatch with the data given in Table 1 and “ Table 1 shows that of the 397 human ” iv) No of references may be restricted to lesser number as per guidelines	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suyog Sindhu
Department, University & Country	KGMU, India