

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_90772
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES OF THE UGANDAN TRADITIONAL MEDICINE SECTOR
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Each nation, like Uganda has an Act of Parliament that discusses or provides the blueprint for the evaluation and assessment of TAM. The review should have started with the national policy on TAM, followed by WHO protocol or definition of TAM.	
Minor REVISION comments	Major re-write. Methodology is elementary not fit for a journal such as this.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ishmael Norman
Department, University & Country	Institute for Security, Disaster and Emergency Studies, Ghana