

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_90699
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro antiproliferative studies of selected medicinal plants on cancerous and normal cells
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear Editor of European Journal of Medical Plant;</p> <p>My comments on the manuscript Ms_EJMP_90699:</p> <p><i>In vitro</i> antiproliferative studies of selected medicinal plants on cancerous and normal cells are following:</p> <p>1. References:</p> <p>The list of references should be corrected (there are many mistakes in journals' full names, or put appropriate abbreviations) for example:</p> <p>9. Samuel TA, James AB, Oshodi TA, Odii UO, Chidume I, Makinde OE, Oguntayo LA, Magbagbeola OA. S. should be: T. Aderonke, J. A. Babatunde, O. T. Adesola, O. U. Okereke, C. Innocent, M. O. Elisha, O. L. Abolaji. M. O. Abiola.</p> <p>In my opinion, the DOI number should be useful.</p> <p>2. Methods</p> <p>The HPTLC methods in this study could be developed. I suppose that the methanolic/ DCM extract of selected plants provides useful and simple tools for the quality assessment and identification of phytochemical marker compounds. In my opinion, it is important to show the mass spectra of all extracted samples to describe the main compounds and compare each other. The chemical identification of compounds is obligatory for me.</p> <p>3. The biological part presented in the manuscript is precisely described, thus I accept all biological experiments.</p> <p>4. Abstract should be rewritten because it should contain only the main information connected with their research - not general, descriptive-knowledge.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hab. Beata Miksa
Department, University & Country	Poland