

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_90511
Title of the Manuscript:	Annona muricata Linn stem bark protects against uterine proliferative disorder induced by estradiol benzoate in female rat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article.

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>et al., should be italic</b> <i>Annona muricata should be italic where ever it comes.</i>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Figure 5 <b>depicts</b> histological features of the uterine sections in normal and EB-treated rats after oral treatment with MEAM (using H&E stains).  Some sentence in present tense. Give past tense uniformly	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Very good	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Dhanalakshmi
Department, University & Country	Bharathidasan College of Arts and Science, India