

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_90711
Title of the Manuscript:	Fiber Quality Characteristics of Cotton (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.) in Main Production Areas of Aegean Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In this work, we evoke the importance of choosing the most determining characteristics to guarantee a good quality of cotton production. Indeed, high quality cotton fiber plays an important role in the textile industry. Regional differences due to environmental factors, genotype and cultural management lead to variation in fiber characteristics. This work presents a two-year field experiment that was conducted to reveal intra-regional differences in the Aegean region.</p> <p>The results of this study clearly demonstrate that although the parameters of length, fineness, strength and color of the fibers of the different cultivation areas of the Aegean region are within the commercial limits, the number of wastes posed an important technological problem. Although it is difficult to say that each region produces cotton of significantly different quality, the fiber quality characteristics of the resulting cotton differ from region to region, indicating the direct effect of climatic conditions on cotton quality. production. samples from the Petit Méandre and Soke basins were particularly superior but the Grand Méandre basin had lower fiber quality.</p> <p>the work is well written only it is necessary to enrich the discussion part with more recent references and more in-depth interpretations</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kadri Karim
Department, University & Country	Regional Research Center in Oasis Agriculture, Tunisia