

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_90202
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiological and Agronomic Characterization of Improved Alkali Grass Genotypes ( <i>Puccinellia ciliata</i> Bor)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>Title: the results presenting the morpho-physiological and the metric characteristics are the biological ones.</b></li><li><b>Abstract:</b><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Provide the area and period of your study.</li><li>Include the means and standard deviations for the quantitative results.</li></ul></li><li><b>Introduction: in the first paragraph, the words in red make the sentence incomprehensible. Consider revising the sentence.</b></li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	These minor revisions are not to be neglected, though! The texts highlighted in yellow are the suggested revisions. Consider integrating those, for authenticity and better understanding of your valuable research.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>References: move the ruler so that the lines will be as directed in the review form.</li><li>Use of the tabulation at the beginning of the paragraphs.</li></ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aubin Tegadjoue Sindze
Department, University & Country	University of Dschang, Cameroon