

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88453
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF NATURAL CAPITAL ON FOOD SECURITY AMONG SMALLHOLDER TEA FARMERS IN BOMET COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Result and Discussion part should be more specific For example, mention what is effect of natural capital. And likewise, discussion should be around keywords of the study 2. Discussion has to be added with each finding 3. Results table: values in table does not match with following content. 4. References are missing in many places: recheck and add 5. Conclusion should to be specific to the findings of the research 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mention of natural capital in Introduction part 2. Please reduce that part where you have written about entitlement theory of Amartya Sen (Also mention how that method is used in this research study?) 3. Mention on questionnaire you used 4. Add keywords 5. Use of comma, full stop and upper case in result and discussion part. (Proof reading requires) 	
Optional/General comments	<p>Introduction, methodology part is written very well. But Results and discussion part needs more focus in this research paper. It ambiguous and needs specific discussion. Suggestion should be added.</p> <p>I suggest that add more content to result and discussion part and reduce content in introduction and methodology if needed. Also add more data and findings to research paper.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Babita Adhikari
Department, University & Country	India