

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_90551
Title of the Manuscript:	Lupus-like syndrome and cardiac tamponade as initial presentation of a primary cardiac malignant tumor: Report of a case
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	We personally believe that the case study Ms_CA_90551 "Lupus-like syndrome and cardiac tamponade as initial presentation of a primary cardiac malignant tumor: Report of a case" is impeccably written. Very descriptive, with levels of detail that give complete information on the case. Make a temporal sequence of diagnosis, surgery and therapy with logical sense. Ethical and professional treatment was followed at all times.	
Minor REVISION comments	We can only point to the change from the first-person to the impersonal narrative of the case. Only twice in the entire text. At the beginning of the work and at the beginning of the discussion.	
Optional/General comments	The work is perfect. In addition to a detailed description, high-quality demonstrative figures are shown that help in the complete understanding of the treated pathology. Also the application of numerous diagnostic tests that give precise information on the causes and physiological consequences that allow the nature and state of the pathology to be inferred.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luis Remedios Hernández
Department, University & Country	Cuban Association of Veterinary Medicine, Cuba