

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Biotechnology Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_89963
Title of the Manuscript:	Micromorphological Study of Plant Fragments in Some Powdered Medicinal Plants Commercially Sold in Enugu State
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is fall in the scope and aims of JALSI            The quality and the scientific soundness of the paper to some extent okay            All corrections and questions should be done and answered before the start of the publication process            There is no ethical approval code or statement (should enclose)            There is no declaration of conflict interests            There is no data availability            There is no author contributions            There is no acknowledgement            There is no recommendations            Add seller instead of vendors            UNTO or into ???            Add microscopy , histological assessment to the keywords            Add downward instead of immemorial            Add standard instead of norm            Enugu state---which country ???            Safranin red and alcian blue stains ----what about H&amp;E stain?????            Add microscopy instead of micromorphology            Figure 1-D---there is a lot of air bubbles            Could be fatal to the consumer --clear that            Discussion should be concise and based upon compression the obtained results with those of the previous investigators            Ref(1)---of missing data (issue , volume , page numbers??            The most cited references need to be more updated            References numbers ---2,4, 13---missing data            Reference number 12---contained more than 6 authors            Ref(13&amp;14)---very old and of missing data            Numbers of references okay but not good</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Elsayed Eldeeb Mehana Hamouda</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alexandria University, Egypt</b>