

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_89989
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the microbiological quality of the ambient air in basic health units in Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is potentially of some interest but the title is not correct. The overall impression is that there are many misprints and inaccuracies in the text, so I would like to ask the authors to read thoroughly the manuscript and make a revised version. Special attention should be paid to the following</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revise the title of the paper and chose an impressive and suitable title. 2. The main novelty of the work should be mentioned in abstract 3. References are too old 4. The duration of study is very old and too limited (March 2016 and July 2016) 5. Please improve the English written of the manuscript and remove the grammatical mistakes. 6. Authors should add the nomenclature 7. I need the clarification on the application of the problem studied. 8. Write the conclusion more precious. What you got? What is advantage? 9. The introduction section should be enriched by adding the following related papers: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10.1007/s42108-021-00124-6 • 10.1051/itmconf/20181703006 • 10.4176/2375-4397.1000188 • 10.1080/10962247.2015.1123782 10. Please recheck your references and make sure the references are all correct. <p>The manuscript be accepted after addressing the above comments.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	See in 1.6 page	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fares Redouane
Department, University & Country	Relizane University, Algeria