

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_91109
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of a Cournot-Bertrand Duoploy Game with Differentiated Products: Stability, Bifurcation and Control
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is well written. I think the authors must pay attention to the language and style of their work.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please check the equations and revise some of them based on the math standard of writing. For example, in (1.6) and (1.8), use “,” at end of the first array and “.” at the end of second array. (1.7) use “,” at end of both arrays. After “$H = a + c^2$” insert “.” Check “.” before the word “where” throughout of the manuscript and use “.”. Page 4, in above of the paragraph which starts with “In [12]...”, move “.”. In (2.1), please move “.” from the matrix and insert it after the bracket, and ... 2. Please pay attention the typos and incomplete sentences. For example, “Here c_i represent marginal costs”, “we found that for the existence of Nash equilibrium point we require the parameters domain Γ and satisfying”, ... 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iman Malmir
Department, University & Country	Iran