

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_89695
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the Media Platforms, Devices and Challenges Associated with Online Teaching and Learning during the COVID-19 Pandemic
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The research work is very limited in its scope of significance and implications. The data used is the responses for a questionnaire related to online teaching. There is no special statistical study on the data collected. There is no implications or usefulness of the results. The experiment part and the following discussion part is weak in terms of originality, significance and novelty. There is no special guidance for mathematics teachers on improving online teaching based on the findings. General findings are presented as guidelines. Any such data collected shall result in a similar set of responses and almost the same results. The suggestion is not only for Ghana and might be given for all the Government. There shall be improved statistical study and better focused results. Fruitful discussions shall be made with the results	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The entire manuscript shall be rewritten with suitable statistical study. Hence, there shall be any minor revisions.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anitha D
Department, University & Country	Thiagarajar College of Engineering, India