

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_90698
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Dysmenorrhoea, associated symptoms, impact and treatment modalities among female undergraduates in University of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Sample size determination should be clearly explained. How the 390 sample is calculated in a multistage sampling method?2. It would be better if you use simple random or systematic random sampling method in each multistage sample, especially the final respondents of the study after the department/faculty was selected.3. Your inclusion and exclusion criteria should be explained in your method section4. Pain severity assessment tool used to classify the pain as mild, moderate or severe should be explained in the method section5. Result section should be revised. You should mention the most important findings what is put in the table the detail should be cited in the respective tables. It is not appropriate to write what is mentioned in the table word by word.	
Minor REVISION comments	Percentage calculation for table 4 is not clear	
Optional/General comments	Grammatical errors should be corrected thoroughly	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Teshager Aklilu Yesuf
Department, University & Country	Wollo University, Ethiopia