

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_90684
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROBIAL ASSESSMENT OF SLAUGHTER SLABS IN ADO EKITI CENTRAL ABATTOIR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The paper is well-written and can be accepted for publication upon clarification of the comments from the author.2. Reference for use of chloramphenicol for fungi selection needs to be quoted in the manuscript.3. Biochemical tests done to detect microorganisms with results obtained can be put in tabular form in the manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Reasons for not giving any petriplate images/ culture images of the microorganisms needs to be clarified	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>No, but biosafety issues are there, and use of BSL facility with level should have been mentioned in the manuscript</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Susinjan Bhattacharya
Department, University & Country	India