

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_91114
Title of the Manuscript:	Polarized Protagonists with the cues of Similitude in Aravind Adiga's Works as the Product of Globalization: Balram and Masterji
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The scope and the treatment of the paper is good. However, the author has to correct the subject verb agreement error, and some grammatical errors. Also in two places the sentences need reformulation. The places are indicated in red in the attached paper. Better the author can put it under 'Grammarly' software. The paper needs to be resubmitted after these language editing.	
Minor REVISION comments	NIL	
Optional/General comments	The treatment of the topic is adequate and content-wise the paper can be accepted.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. Venkatraman
Department, University & Country	Sastra Deemed University, India