

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_90856
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEIVED FACTORS AFFECTING ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF PANTAWID PAMILYANG PILIPINO PROGRAM (4Ps) BENEFICIARIES OF APAYAO STATE COLLEGE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	None	
Minor REVISION comments	None	
Optional/General comments	The title selected by the author(s) is very effective, technically robust, and clearly defined. Materials and methods used in the research paper are satisfactory and it is appropriate and technically accurate. Parameters of an acceptable description of the methods have been provided and it is supportive for another researcher. The data are sufficient and have been interpreted clearly. Significant and recent references are provided in the research article. Discussion and conclusions are based on authentic facts and figures. Adequate and appropriate statistical analysis has been done by the author(s). The conclusion is vibrant and supported by the data deliberated inside the manuscript. The standardized referencing system and sufficient references have been presented.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vijay Kumar Bharati
Department, University & Country	Mahatma Gandhi Kashi Vidyapith, India