

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_90856
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEIVED FACTORS AFFECTING ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF PANTAWID PAMILYANG PILIPINO PROGRAM (4Ps) BENEFICIARIES OF APAYAO STATE COLLEGE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This study is interesting but the paper is quite unclear about the methodology and gap of this study. It impact on results and discussion sections, that cannot critique appropriate and strength and weakness of this method. I have not seen about the Ethical issue and IRB number, period of the study and how to reduce bias in this study. Please find attached.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Major revision and the resubmit again	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sirikanok Klankhajhon
Department, University & Country	Naresuan University, Thailand