

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJASS_90778 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Analysis of the quality of peace and security from the perspectives of either Intelligence-led or Zero-tolerance policing in Lira city, northern Uganda |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | The author has to revisit the abstract and incorporate all aspects of a good abstract according to the proper order of arrangements. The author must improve on paragraphing. Sub-title should be innovative, rather than follow the same pattern and language as the first one. Avoid much repetition of a point that has already been clearly made. The author must show gaps in existing literature that this current tends to fill within the area of literature review. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Look out for grammatical and spelling errors as pointed out in the review section. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Name: | Kanayo Louis Nwadiolor |
| Department, University & Country | Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria |