

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_90773
Title of the Manuscript:	Traditional policing versus community-oriented policing in the quest for peace and security among slum dwellers in Lira city, northern Uganda
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Research paper is presented on the societal theme is apt, better theoretical framework and models may be <i>cut to the chase</i> . 2. 'Only 15% were single' on page.7 may be termed as SINGLETONS . 3. After recommendations, a little CONCLUSION may be added. 4. A standard format may be followed in citing references. eg: Boettke, P.J., Lemke, J. S., Palagashvili, L. (2016). Re-evaluating community policing in a polycentric system. <i>Journal of Institutional Economics</i> , 12,305-325.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Samuel Babu
Department, University & Country	ST. Joseph's Post-Graduate College, India