

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_90773
Title of the Manuscript:	Traditional policing versus community-oriented policing in the quest for peace and security among slum dwellers in Lira city, northern Uganda
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The discussion of the METHOD needs improvement for publication. Discuss comprehensively the selection criteria of the participants, the validation of the instrument, the detailed procedure for focus group discussion, ethical considerations and protocols and data analysis. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comprehensive discussions of all findings are also necessary. Relevant supporting literature must also be presented clearly. The limitations of the study must also be discussed for future investigation and direction of the paper 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consistency on the intext citation, since there are some in number citation, some are in author and year citation The reference list is very limited for international publication, additional sources from published journal is required. It must also strictly follow the APA style of referencing. State the name of the journal, volume and issue number as well as the pages 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> -	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noelah Mae D. Borbon
Department, University & Country	Lyceum of the Philippines University, Philippines