

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_90695
Title of the Manuscript:	Restoration Process (Before and After) of “The Serious Learner” Outdoor Concrete Statue in TTU, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>This is a well organized research presented in a clear way for the readers. However, before accepting the paper for publication the following suggestions could help to improve the scientific quality of the paper. Good luck to the author(s).</p> <p><b>Title</b> The title could be changed since in the research author(s) collect data from users.</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> The related literature should be presented. Why this study and its research questions are important? And which gap this study intends to fill the gap? To answer these question introduction part should be developed. Additionally, the weaknesses of the study should be written in this or conclusiin part with an critical realistic approach. Check the all paper in terms of using Takoradi Technical University or TTU. After giving TTU in parentheses than it will be enough to use only TTU.</p> <p><b>Material and Methods</b> With how many people author(s) made unstructured interviews? Where? When? Please make explanation about the answers of these questions.</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> Conclusion part should be extended in reference to related literature that will be presented in introduction part. How the findings of the research show similarity/differences with the related literature? At the end of this part, the authors should open way for the following researches and implementation through making suggestion for the following researches.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Neslihan Kulözü Uzunboy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Atatürk University, Turkey</b>