

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_90428
Title of the Manuscript:	Promoting WOM through Destination Image in the Era of Live-Streaming in Health and Wellness Tourism Context
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The weakness of this paper is limited and lack of literature review This paper will be much better and more qualified, if the author adds more related literature and previous research based on your perspective to strengthen the quality of the paper, the discussion part of the paper is too limited Please also explain the role of interviewers on the discussion part (This is optional minor revision comments)</p>	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This paper explores interesting issue about "Promoting WOM through Destination Image in the Era of Live-Streaming in Health and Wellness Tourism Context." 2. The introduction of the paper is well coordinated in line with the title of paper 3. Methodological aspects of the study was clearly articulated 4. The results are satisfactory and compiled with conclusion 5. General comments: I think this research is good and eligible for being published. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sukris Sutiyatno
Department, University & Country	STMIK Bina Patria, Indonesia