

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_90187
Title of the Manuscript:	Immigrant work force in Greece: social inequalities, labor and crisis, The road to subservience
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **lack of Novelty**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer s comment	Author s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article “the” should be in small letter. 2. It should be in this form: The question is: how exactly does this shift happens? That is how an employment relationship turns into subservience. There should be full stop after the sentence. 3. Worker’s identity 4. People’s incorporation 5. A semi-colon should be applied here (:). 6. Someone’s itinerary 7. This cannot be a paragraph on its own. It should be part of the earlier paragraph. 8. Coxon (1978) states or stated that... 9. Coxon (1978) states that occupation is consisting in the most important factor... 10. Full stop 11. The sentence should begin with: This because... 12. The comma should be beside the word 13. This should be in italics 14. It should: This interests us a lot in the analysis of the present article. 15. Du Bois study’s 16. Italicized 17. One’s 18. Italicized 19. Reconstruct the sentence: According to the researchers, the disruption of the workers social life lies at the core of the process of change of their work ethic. 20. Emerged 21. Man’s 22. At 23. People’s 24. ...the perception of the work ethic and its values to functional. Put full stop. The sentence is too long. Let a new sentence start from “that is” 25. Studies 26. Parts 27. are developing 28. try 29. The sentence is too long. You need to break it down to short sentences and reconstruct them correctly. 30. Remove 31. The sentence is too long. Reconstruct it correctly and break it to smaller sentences. 32. How the specific work force is formed 33. Through which means is itineraries led to subservience? Or by what means is itineraries led to subservience? 34. Interdisciplinary 35. This study 36. Remove and start the next word with a capital letter 37. People’s 38. comma after the word, “Firstly” 39. Insert a comma 40. This is not a complete sentence. Construct a good sentence. 41. Comma after studies 42. Full stop 43. Remove 44. Reframe this sentence 45. The sentence is too long. Write short sentences from it. 	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>46. If you quoting an author, you need to provide a proper reference as in: In Psimmenos and Skamnakis (2008), who studied the immigrant domestic workers from Albania and Ukraine explained that, “we owe the terms welfare...” You can also indent the quotation if it is too long as the case here.</p> <p>47. Remove and “of” in front of after renewing and before papers 48. Check the sentence and reconstruct it. 49. Remove 50. Family’s 51. Insert a comma 52. Insert a comma 53. Employer’s family 54. Women’s welfare 55. remove 56. add “d” and remove “s” 57. Spelling: domestic 58. Papadopoulou’s study 59. It is too long, one sentence paragraph. Break it into short sentences. 60. Fouskas’ study - apostrophe should come after “s” as seen here. 61. Is</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The work should be scrutinized again by the author. Ensure the sentences are reframed correctly; place punctuation marks in the right places. The graphic (typing) aspect should be looked into.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martins Segun Jayeoba
Department, University & Country	Nigeria