

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_89331
Title of the Manuscript:	REASSESSING ETHNIC-BASED PARTY FORMATION, PROLIFERATION AND POLITICAL INSTABILITY IN NIGERIA: A PERISCOPE INTO THE FUTURE
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Nil	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The paper reflected the ethnic character of political parties and how political processes have contributed to instability in the Nigerian State using the historical lens.</li><li>2. The manuscripts addressed the issues sufficiently;</li><li>3. The methodology is sound;</li><li>4. The language and logic of the paper is scientific;</li><li>5. The conclusion and implications flow from the discussion to add to the robustness of the paper;</li><li>6. The references style adopted is appropriate and adequate.</li><li>7. However, minor observations are captured at the comment review pane on the manuscript that:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a) Author needs to capture the objective(s) of the paper or raise the question(s) that this study intends to address, state the significance of the paper and even though it has been mentioned within the abstract, the author needs to equally capture the methodology adopted. To be done as the last paragraph of the introduction.</li><li>b) Author should provide source(s) where required.</li></ol></li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper is good	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaibu, M. T.
Department, University & Country	Ekiti State University, Nigeria