

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_89808
Title of the Manuscript:	PENILE FRACTURE WITH BILATERAL CORPORA CAVERNOSA TEAR AND NEAR-COMPLETE URETHRAL INJURY FOLLOWING A MOTORBIKE ACCIDENT: A CASE REPORT.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	For the points marked in red:- 1. This is not an emergent condition. It is a well known entity. 2. How was the urethral injury diagnosed in periphery and why still PUC tried and not SPC kept – patient too much lucky 3. Do you still apply tourniquet at base of penis when injury is known and may aggravate the condition – though not helping clinically	
Optional/General comments	Good case to report but still thinking about the mechanism and impact of the injury	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjay P Dhangar
Department, University & Country	SMBT Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Center, India