

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_89388
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of pharmacological impact, therapeutic efficacy and safety profile of Terminalia chebula as an antidiabetic hypolipidemic medicine in alloxan-induced diabetic rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrre.com/index.php/AJRRE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Investigating the effectiveness of educational intervention. - In the abstract section of the study, pay more attention to the working method and the method of conducting the study and describe the type of study and the method of execution. Not well covered here. - In the conclusion section, write the final result and the final application of the study - Match the keywords to the mesh - In the introduction section, write the reason and importance of the study - At the beginning of the study, examine the epidemiology of the subject - You have not shown the reason for doing this study well in this study - Check other similar studies in this field - Write the entry and exit criteria in the procedure - Write a study collection tool - Write the titles of the tables - Table number 1 has no title - The results are too detailed and long to summarize - Discuss the final result of the study and compare it with the results of other studies - Write the limitations and strengths and weaknesses of the study 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Design code and code of ethics should be mentioned in the study</p> <p>Write ethical considerations</p>	
Optional/General comments	Some sentences should be rewritten	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatemeh Darabi
Department, University & Country	Asadabad School of Medical Sciences, Iran