

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_84911
Title of the Manuscript:	Explicit Risk Management in Agile Software Projects: its Relevance and Benefits
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review article can focus more on the business aspects and practical examples to cite the relevance of risk management in Software projects Literature Survey is not elaborated Paper should contain more comparative study on the agile aspects (highlighting scrum, Kanban, etc.) A case study for a real risk in software development organization could have been used as a detailed reference of the problem at hand Paper should also contain author's suggestions on improvement and limitations and make inferences from the texts that have been referred in Literature Survey 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visuals can be used to depict various phases/events of Agile Methodology Tabular data for comparing risk management can be explored 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This paper covers concepts but fails to bring in the research mindset for an agile practitioner The paper is at the level of "Ri" whereas it should have been atleast "Ha" if not for "Shu" considering it's a research paper 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suman De
Department, University & Country	India