

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_90886
Title of the Manuscript:	Purification of Alcohol Dehydrogenase Enzyme from Chicken Liver and Immobilization onto Florisil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Abstract needs to be rewritten as it fails to convey the substance of the article 2) Introduction should focus on the enzyme in the study and not a rambling detail on enzymes in general 3) Several grammar mistakes, spelling mistakes and lack of scientific Language. It should be rewritten with the help of a person proficient in English 4) There is a lack of clarity in the Materials and Methods section. There are several errors and use of terms which cannot be understood. Gross errors have been marked in Red colour. I fail to understand terms in chromatography such as trompta and use of tampons in chromatography. The word colon also is used and I fail to understand the meaning 5) There is no procedure as to how Dialysis was done. It is not mentioned at all 6) % saturation of Ammonium sulphate used is not mentioned 7) In the Results section proper and precise labelling of graphs is not done which has been marked in Red colour 8) There are gross mistakes either due to lack of fluency in English or inability to write a scientific article 9) No conclusion has been written 10) Proper References have not been made. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deena C Mendez
Department, University & Country	Sri Devaraj Urs Academy of Higher Education and Research, India